

Multivariate matrix-exponential affine mixtures and their applications in risk theory

Eric C.K. CHEUNG
School of Risk and Actuarial Studies
UNSW Sydney

Presented to
2022 Virtual ASTIN/AFIR Colloquium

Joint work with Oscar PERALTA and Jae-Kyung WOO

Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11122>

June 21-23, 2022

Outline

- Introduction
 - Matrix-exponential distribution and its properties
- Multivariate matrix-exponential affine mixture
 - Closure properties
 - Applications in risk theory
 - Model calibration

1

Matrix-exponential distribution

- See e.g. Bladt and Nielsen (2017) for review
- X : Continuous random variable on $[0, \infty)$ with density
$$f(x) = \alpha e^{\mathbf{T}x} \mathbf{t} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0 \quad (1)$$
 - α : p -dimensional real row vector
 - \mathbf{T} : $p \times p$ real square matrix
 - \mathbf{t} : p -dimensional real column vector
- Survival function is $\bar{F}(x) = \alpha e^{\mathbf{T}x} \mathbf{l}$ for $x \geq 0$
 - $\mathbf{l} = (-\mathbf{T})^{-1} \mathbf{t}$
- The r -th moment is $\mathbb{E}[X^r] = r! \alpha (-\mathbf{T})^{-(r+1)} \mathbf{t}$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$

2

- Write $X \sim \text{ME}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{t})$
- Denote ME as the family of density functions of the form (1)
- Generalization of phase-type distribution
 - But $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{t} no longer have probabilistic interpretation
- ME = class of densities with rational Laplace transform
- Let σ_i 's be eigenvalues of \mathbf{T} and m_i be the multiplicity of σ_i
 - The density of X can be written as a linear combination of $\{x^{k-1}e^{\sigma_i x} : i; 1 \leq k \leq m_i\}$

3

Two classes equivalent to ME

- Define the class of *matrix-exponential mixtures* (MEM)

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^L c_j f_j : L \in \mathbb{N}_+; f_j \in \text{ME}; c_j \geq 0; \sum_{j=1}^L c_j = 1 \right\}$$
- Define the class of *matrix-exponential affine mixtures* (MEam)

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^L c_j f_j : L \in \mathbb{N}_+; f_j \in \text{ME}; c_j \in \mathbb{R}; \sum_{j=1}^L c_j = 1; \sum_{j=1}^L c_j f_j \geq 0 \right\}$$

4

- **Proposition 1** : ME = MEM = MEam.

- **Proof** :

→ ME \subseteq MEM \subseteq MEam so it remains to prove MEam \subseteq ME

→ Pick an element of MEam where each f_j is ME($\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j, \mathbf{T}_j, \mathbf{t}_j$)

→ Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^L c_j f_j(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^L c_j \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j e^{\mathbf{T}_j x} \mathbf{t}_j \\ &= (c_1 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \dots, c_L \boldsymbol{\alpha}_L) \exp \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \mathbf{T}_L \end{pmatrix} x \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{t}_L \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is an element of ME

5

Closure of ME under order statistics

- **Proposition 2** (Bladt and Nielsen (2017, Theorem 4.4.14)) :

If $X_j \sim \text{ME}(\alpha_j, \mathbf{T}_j, \mathbf{t}_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ are independent, then the k -th order statistic $X_{k:n}$ is ME-distributed.

- Easy to see this using Proposition 1
- For example, if X_1, \dots, X_n follow the same $\text{ME}(\alpha, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{t})$ then $X_{k:n}$ has density

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k:n}(x) &= \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} (1 - \bar{F}(x))^{k-1} f(x) (\bar{F}(x))^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{\ell} (-1)^\ell f(x) (\bar{F}(x))^{n-\ell-1} \end{aligned}$$

6

→ Here

$$\begin{aligned} &f(x) (\bar{F}(x))^{n-\ell-1} \\ &= \underbrace{(\alpha \otimes \alpha \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha)}_{n-\ell \text{ blocks}} \exp\left(\underbrace{(\mathbf{T} \oplus \mathbf{T} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{T})x}_{n-\ell \text{ blocks}}\right) \underbrace{(\mathbf{t} \otimes \mathbf{l} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{l})}_{n-\ell \text{ blocks}} \end{aligned}$$

is non-negative and is proportional to an ME density

→ $f_{k:n}$ is MEam

→ $f_{k:n}$ is therefore ME thanks to Proposition 1

7

Multivariate distributions

- Multivariate distributions are used in modelling multivariate losses
 - Multivariate risk measures
 - Premium calculations
 - Capital allocation
- Desirable properties include e.g.
 - Ease of solving the above problems
 - Denseness
 - Closure properties (e.g. Esscher transform)
 - Availability of fitting algorithms

8

- Some multivariate distributions that have enjoyed success
 - Elliptical : Valdez and Chernih (2003), Furman and Landsman (2006), Landsman, Makov and Shushi (2016, 2018)
 - Gamma : Furman and Landsman (2005), Furman, Kye and Su (2021)
 - Phase-type : Cai and Li (2005)
 - Pareto : Asimit, Furman and Vernic (2010), Sarabia, Gómez-Déniz, Prieto, and Jordá (2016)
 - Mixed Erlang : Lee and Lin (2012), Willmot and Woo (2015)
- Multivariate ME distribution proposed by Bladt and Nielsen (2010)
 - Characterized by rational multivariate Laplace transform
 - Explicit expression for density is unknown

9

Multivariate ME affine mixture (MMEam)

- Fix $L, M \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and define $\mathcal{S} = \{1, \dots, L\}^M$
- Let f_j be $\text{ME}(\alpha_j, \mathbf{T}_j, \mathbf{t}_j)$ (with $\mathbf{l}_j = (-\mathbf{T}_j)^{-1}\mathbf{t}_j$) for $j \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- **Definition of our proposed MMEam :**
 An MMEam density is a multivariate density function of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_M) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{S}} p_{\mathbf{i}} f_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots f_{i_M}(x_M) \quad \text{for } x_1, \dots, x_M \geq 0.$$
 - \mathbf{i} : Abbreviation of (i_1, \dots, i_M)
 - $\{p_{\mathbf{i}}\}_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{S}}$: A collection of real numbers such that $\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{S}} p_{\mathbf{i}} = 1$

10

- If (X_1, \dots, X_M) follows an M -variate MMEam density
 - For $r_1, \dots, r_M \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the joint moments are

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^M X_j^{r_j} \right] = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{S}} p_{\mathbf{i}} \prod_{j=1}^M r_j! \alpha_{i_j} (-\mathbf{T}_{i_j})^{-(r_j+1)} \mathbf{t}_{i_j}$$
 - Any \widetilde{M} sub-components follow an \widetilde{M} -variate MMEam density
 - * Each X_j is MEam and hence ME
 - Density of any \widetilde{M} sub-components conditional on the values of other components is \widetilde{M} -variate MMEam
- If $p_{\mathbf{i}} \geq 0$ and each f_j is an $\text{Erlang}(j, \lambda)$ density, then MMEam reduces to a multivariate finite Erlang mixture

11

Closure property 1 : Residual lifetime

- $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_M)$ is MMEam and fix $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_M) \in [0, \infty)^M$
- The density of $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{z} | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{z}$ is the *residual lifetime* density

$$f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\text{RL}}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = \frac{f(x_1 + z_1, \dots, x_M + z_M)}{\bar{F}(z_1, \dots, z_M)}$$

→ \bar{F} is the joint survival function of \mathbf{X}

- **Proposition 3** : $f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\text{RL}} \in \text{MMEam}$ with

$$f_{\mathbf{z}}^{\text{RL}}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_{\mathbf{z}, i}^{\text{RL}} f_{z_1, i_1}^{\text{RL}}(x_1) \cdots f_{z_M, i_M}^{\text{RL}}(x_M),$$

where

$$f_{z, j}^{\text{RL}}(x) = \alpha_{z, j}^{\text{RL}} e^{T_j x} t_j, \quad \alpha_{z, j}^{\text{RL}} = \frac{1}{\alpha_j e^{T_j z} t_j} \alpha_j e^{T_j z}.$$

12

Closure property 2 : Size-biased Esscher transform

- Fix $n_1, \dots, n_M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M \geq 0$
- Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_M)$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M)$
- The density of a *size-biased Esscher transform* of f is

$$f_{\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\text{ET}}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = \frac{1}{C_{\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\text{ET}}} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_M^{n_M} e^{-\lambda_1 x_1 - \cdots - \lambda_M x_M} f(x_1, \dots, x_M)$$

→ $C_{\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\text{ET}}$ is a normalizing constant

- $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_M = 0 \Rightarrow$ multivariate size-biased distribution
- $n_1 = \cdots = n_M = 0 \Rightarrow$ multivariate Esscher transform

13

- **Proposition 4** : $f_{\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\text{ET}} \in \text{MMEam}$ with

$$f_{\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\text{ET}}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_{\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, i}^{\text{ET}} f_{n_1, \lambda_1, i_1}^{\text{ET}}(x_1) \cdots f_{n_M, \lambda_M, i_M}^{\text{ET}}(x_M),$$

where

$$f_{n, \lambda, j}^{\text{ET}}(x) = \left(\frac{n!}{C_{n, \lambda, j}^{\text{ET}}} \alpha_j^{[n]} \right) e^{T_j^{[n, \lambda]} x} t_j^{[n]},$$

$$\alpha_j^{[n]} = \underbrace{(\alpha_j, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n+1 \text{ blocks}}, \quad t_j^{[n]} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ t_j \end{pmatrix} \Bigg\} n+1 \text{ blocks},$$

$$T_j^{[n, \lambda]} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} T_j - \lambda I & & & I \\ & T_j - \lambda I & & I \\ & & T_j - \lambda I & I \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & T_j - \lambda I \end{pmatrix}}_{n+1 \text{ blocks}}.$$

14

Property 3 : Aggregation

- **Proposition 5** : The sum $S = \sum_{j=1}^M X_j$ has density

$$f_S(y) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_i \alpha_i e^{T_i y} t_i$$

which is MEam and hence ME, where

$$\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i_1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \quad , \quad t_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ t_{i_M} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_i = \begin{pmatrix} T_{i_1} & t_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2} & & & \\ & T_{i_2} & t_{i_2} \alpha_{i_3} & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & T_{i_M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

15

Property 4 : Order statistics

- **Proposition 6** : The j -th order statistic $X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}}$ of (X_1, \dots, X_M) follows an ME distribution.

- **Sketch of proof** :

→ $X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}}$ has density

$$f_{j:M}^{\text{OS}}(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_i f_{i,j:M}(x)$$

* $f_{i,j:M}$ is the j -th order statistic of M independent variables with densities $f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, \dots, f_{i_M}$

→ $f_{i,j:M} \in \text{ME} \Rightarrow f_{j:M}^{\text{OS}} \in \text{MEam} \Rightarrow f_{j:M}^{\text{OS}} \in \text{ME}$

16

Application 1 : Multivariate risk measures

- $\mathbf{X} - z | \mathbf{X} > z$ can be regarded as *multivariate excess loss*

- **Lemma 1** (Joint moments of excess losses of MMEam) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^M (X_j - z_j)^{r_j} \mid X_1 > z_1, \dots, X_M > z_M \right] \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_{z,i}^{\text{RL}} \prod_{j=1}^M r_j! \alpha_{z_j, i_j}^{\text{RL}} (-T_{i_j})^{-(r_j+1)} t_{i_j}. \end{aligned}$$

- Recall each X_j is ME
 $\Rightarrow \text{V}\text{O}\text{R}_{\theta_j}(X_j)$ can be easily obtained for $\theta_j \in [0, 1)$
- Define $\text{V}\text{O}\text{R}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = (\text{V}\text{O}\text{R}_{\theta_1}(X_1), \dots, \text{V}\text{O}\text{R}_{\theta_M}(X_M))$
 $\rightarrow \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M)$

17

- Landsman, Makov and Shushi (2016) proposed the *multivariate tail conditional expectation*

$$\text{MTCE}_\theta(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})]$$

→ M -dimensional vector with j -th component $\mathbb{E}[X_j | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})]$

→ Use Lemma 1 with $z = \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})$

- Landsman, Makov and Shushi (2018) proposed the *multivariate tail covariance*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{MTCov}_\theta(\mathbf{X}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \text{MTCE}_\theta(\mathbf{X}))^\top (\mathbf{X} - \text{MTCE}_\theta(\mathbf{X})) | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})] \end{aligned}$$

→ M -dimensional square matrix with (j_1, j_2) -th element

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(X_{j_1} - \mathbb{E}[X_{j_1} | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})]) \\ & \times (X_{j_2} - \mathbb{E}[X_{j_2} | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})]) | \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(\mathbf{X})] \end{aligned}$$

→ Obtainable via our lemma

18

Application 2 : Conditional Value-at-Risk

- CoVOR was proposed by Adrian and Brunnermeier (2016)

- CoVOR is the VOR of a loss conditional on a stress scenario

- $\text{CoVOR}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^{\leftarrow}(X_2 | X_1) = \text{VOR}_{\theta_2}(X_2 | X_1 = \text{VOR}_{\theta_1}(X_1))$

→ θ_2 -level VOR of X_2 given X_1 is exactly at its θ_1 -level VOR

- Mainik and Schaanning (2014) subsequently defined

$$\text{CoVOR}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^{\rightarrow}(X_2 | X_1) = \text{VOR}_{\theta_2}(X_2 | X_1 > \text{VOR}_{\theta_1}(X_1))$$

- Stress scenarios can be extended to multiple losses at or exceeding their respective VOR values

19

- Conditional distributions are ME

⇒ These CoVOR's or even CoES can be calculated

- For $S = \sum_{j=1}^M X_j$, Di Bernardino, Fernández-Ponce, Palacios-Rodríguez and Rodríguez-Griñolo (2015) defined

$$\text{CoVOR}_{\theta_1, \theta_S}^{\rightarrow}(S | X_1) = \text{VOR}_{\theta_S}(S | X_1 > \text{VOR}_{\theta_1}(X_1))$$

- To determine the distribution $S | X_1 > z_1$ for $z_1 \geq 0$:

→ Write $S = z_1 + (X_1 - z_1) + \sum_{j=2}^M X_j$

→ Joint density of $(X_1 - z_1, X_2, \dots, X_M)$ given $X_1 > z_1$ is MMEam

→ $S - z_1 = (X_1 - z_1) + \sum_{j=2}^M X_j$ given $X_1 > z_1$ is ME

20

Application 3 : Large claims reinsurance

- See e.g. Albrecher, Beirlant and Teugels (2017) for review
- $X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}}$ is the j -th order statistic of the losses (X_1, \dots, X_M)
- Let R be the loss covered by reinsurer
- LCR(k) (k largest claims reinsurance) treaty

→ Reinsurer fully covers the largest k losses such that

$$R = \sum_{j=M-k+1}^M X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}}$$

21

- ECOMOR(k) (*Excédent du Coût Moyen Relatif*) treaty

→ Reinsurer covers the losses in excess of the k -th largest loss (where $2 \leq k \leq M$)

→ Like excess-of-loss reinsurance for the $k-1$ largest losses with random deductible being the k -th largest loss so that

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \sum_{j=1}^M (X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}} - X_{M-k+1:M}^{\text{OS}})_+ \\ &= \sum_{j=M-k+2}^M (X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}} - X_{M-k+1:M}^{\text{OS}}) \\ &= \sum_{j=M-k+2}^M X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}} - (k-1)X_{M-k+1:M}^{\text{OS}} \end{aligned}$$

- Each $X_{j:M}^{\text{OS}}$ is ME $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[R]$ can be obtained for these contracts

22

Application 4 : Capital allocation

- See e.g. Denuit (2001) for review
- A risk measure $\rho(S)$ of $S = \sum_{j=1}^M X_j$ can be regarded as the *risk capital* to be allocated to each risk with an *allocation principle*
- Let K_j be the capital allocated to X_j
 - Preferably satisfies additivity requirement $\rho(S) = \sum_{j=1}^M K_j$
- *Covariance-based allocation* (e.g. Cossette, Côté, Marceau and Moutanabbir (2013)) :

$$K_j = \mathbb{E}[X_j] + \frac{\text{Cov}(X_j, S)}{\text{Var}(S)} (\text{TV@R}_\theta(S) - \mathbb{E}[S])$$

→ Additive with $\sum_{j=1}^M K_j = \text{TV@R}_\theta(S)$

→ $\text{Cov}(X_j, S) = \mathbb{E}[X_j S] - \mathbb{E}[X_j] \mathbb{E}[S] = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{E}[X_j X_i] - \mathbb{E}[X_j] \mathbb{E}[S]$

23

- TCov allocation based on tail covariance premium in Furman and Landsman (2006) :

$$K_j = \mathbb{E}[X_j | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S)] + \beta \text{Cov}(X_j, S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S))$$

→ $\sum_{j=1}^M K_j = \mathbb{E}[S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S)] + \beta \text{Var}(S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S))$ is the tail variance premium for the aggregate risk S

- Tail covariance premium adjusted (TCPA) allocation (Wang (2014)) :

$$K_j = \mathbb{E}[X_j | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S)] + \beta \frac{\text{Cov}(X_j, S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S))}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S))}}$$

→ $\sum_{j=1}^M K_j = \mathbb{E}[S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S)] + \beta \sqrt{\text{Var}(S | S > \mathbf{V}\text{OR}_\theta(S))}$ is the tail standard deviation premium discussed in Furman and Landsman (2006)

- Interested in $\mathbb{E}[X_j^k S^h \mathbf{1}\{S > y\}]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$

24

- **Lemma 2** ($\mathbb{E}[X_j^k S^h \mathbf{1}\{S > y\}]$ for MMEam with $M \geq 2$) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[X_j^k S^h \mathbf{1}\{S > y\}] \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} p_i k! \left(\alpha_{i,j}^{[k]}, \mathbf{0} \right) \left\{ e^{\mathbf{A}^{\{k,i,j\}} y} \sum_{\ell=0}^h \left(-\mathbf{A}^{\{k,i,j\}} \right)^{-(h-\ell+1)} \frac{h!}{\ell!} y^\ell \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{t}_{(i,j)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- Extension to multiplicative background risk model (e.g. Franke, Schlesinger and Stapleton (2006)) :

$$(X_1^\dagger, \dots, X_M^\dagger) = \left(\frac{X_1}{B}, \dots, \frac{X_M}{B} \right)$$

→ B is assumed independent of \mathbf{X}

→ Most works in literature assume X_1, \dots, X_M are mutually independent (e.g. Furman, Kye and Su (2021))

→ We can solve capital allocation when (X_1, \dots, X_M) is MMEam

25

Note on fitting of MMEam

- Data : N observations $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,M})\}_{k=1}^N$ of (X_1, \dots, X_M)

- Fitting can be performed using a two-step procedure

- **1st step** : Estimation of ME marginals

→ For each $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, fit an ME density $\hat{f}_{X_j}(x) = \hat{\alpha}_{X_j} e^{\hat{T}_{X_j} x} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{X_j}$ (with cdf \hat{F}_{X_j}) to the marginal data $\{x_{k,j}\}_{k=1}^N$

→ May utilize existing algorithms for ME (or its special case of phase-type) fitting, see e.g. Asmussen, Nerman and Olsson (1996), Fackrell (2005), Okamura and Dohi (2016)

26

- **2nd step** : Construction of dependence using Bernstein copula with empirical mixing weights (Sancetta and Satchell (2004))

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{C}(u_1, \dots, u_M) \\ &= \sum_{(h_1, \dots, h_M) \in \{0, \dots, A\}^M} \widehat{\zeta}\left(\frac{h_1}{A}, \dots, \frac{h_M}{A}\right) \prod_{j=1}^M \binom{A}{h_j} u_j^{h_j} (1 - u_j)^{A-h_j} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{\zeta}\left(\frac{h_1}{A}, \dots, \frac{h_M}{A}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{1} \left\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^M \left\{ \widehat{F}_{X_j}(x_{k,j}) \leq \frac{h_j}{A} \right\} \right\}$$

- Fitted joint cumulative distribution function of (X_1, \dots, X_M) is $\widehat{C}(\widehat{F}_{X_1}(x_1), \dots, \widehat{F}_{X_M}(x_M))$
- Is the fitted joint density of (X_1, \dots, X_M) is really MMEam?

27

- Yes! It can be shown that

$$\widehat{f}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(h_1, \dots, h_M) \in \{1, \dots, A\}^M} \widehat{\phi}_{(h_1, \dots, h_M)} \prod_{j=1}^M \widehat{f}_{X_j, h_j; A}(x_j)$$

$$\rightarrow \widehat{\phi}_{(h_1, \dots, h_M)}$$

$$= \sum_{(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_M) \in \{0, 1\}^M} (-1)^{M+\ell_1+\dots+\ell_M} \widehat{\zeta}\left(\frac{h_1-1+\ell_1}{A}, \dots, \frac{h_M-1+\ell_M}{A}\right)$$

- $\widehat{f}_{X_j, h_j; A}$ is the density of the h_j -th order statistic of A independent variables with density \widehat{f}_{X_j} and is thus ME

28

THE END

Thank you !