



Deadline: 31 March 2016

Please use this template to comment on the [Exposure Draft of ISAP 1 A](#) Governance of Models, and the proposed revisions to the [Glossary for ISAP 1A](#).

The IAA invites comments on this Exposure Draft, particularly on the questions set out below. Comments are most helpful if they:

- (a) Comment on the questions as stated;
- (b) Indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate;
- (c) Contain a clear rationale; and
- (d) Include any alternative that the IAA should consider, if applicable within the scope of the Statement of Intent for ISAP 1A.

Identification and instructions		
Name of Individual:	Please indicate if your comments are personal, or represent your organization:	<b>The comments in this template represent our organization, not personal.</b>
Name of organization		<b>The Institute of Actuaries of Korea</b>
Disclosure of comments:	Please indicate if your comments should be treated as confidential, and if so why:	<b>Not confidential</b>
Instructions for filling in and sending the template	<p>Please follow the following instructions for filling in the template:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>⇒ Do <b>not</b> write in the yellow shaded cells</li> <li>⇒ Write in the white cells</li> <li>⇒ When commenting on a specific paragraph:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Please use a separate row for each paragraph, sub paragraph, or bullet.</li> <li>○ Please include the full reference in the first column such as "Introduction 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet" or "2.6.1.b.ii"</li> <li>○ Please insert/append extra rows as needed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Please send the completed template, renamed with the organization's or individual's name, attached in <u>Word Format</u>, to</b></p> <p><a href="mailto:ISAPIA.comments@actuaries.org">ISAPIA.comments@actuaries.org</a></p>	



Deadline: 31 March 2016

	Specific Questions asked by the ASC	Response
Q1.	Is the guidance clear and unambiguous? If not, how should it be changed?	Clear and Unambiguous
Q2.	Is the guidance sufficient and appropriate? If not, how should it be changed?	Sufficient and appropriate
Q3.	Is it clear how the guidance in the proposed ISAP relates to the guidance in ISAP 1? If not, how should it be changed?	Clear
Q4.	Is the guidance at the right level of detail? If not, what text should be omitted because it is too detailed? In what areas do actuaries need more detailed guidance?	Right level of detail
Q5.	Are there other matters that should be included in this standard on governance of models? Are there some included here that should not be?	No Omission, No Deletion

	General Comments on the ISAP 1A Exposure Draft	
	<b>The Effective Date of ISAP 1A</b> should be in accordance with that of IFRS4 Phase2	

Comments on specific paragraphs of the ISAP 1A Exposure Draft		
Full paragraph reference	Change proposed to the paragraph (markup preferred)	Reason the change is needed (can be kept very brief or left blank if obvious from the change)
N/A	N/A	N/A



<b>Comments on specific definitions in the Exposure Draft of the updated Glossary</b>		
<b>Note that only the proposed revisions are open for comment</b>		
<b>Defined Term</b>	<b>Change proposed to the definition (markup preferred)</b>	<b>Reason the change is needed (can be kept very brief or left blank if obvious from the change)</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A