

# Conditional Tail Expectations for Multivariate Phase Type Distributions

Jun Cai  
University of Waterloo  
jcai@uwaterloo.ca

Haijun Li  
Washington State University  
lih@math.wsu.edu

September 2005

## Outline

- Conditional tail expectations (CTEs) of the total risk and extreme risks
- CTEs for univariate phase type distributions
- CTEs for multivariate phase distributions
- Effects of dependence on the CTEs of the total risk and extreme risks

- **Conditional Tail Expectation (CTE):**

- Let  $X$  denote the amount of claims on an insurance portfolio or the loss on an investment portfolio. The conditional expectation of  $X$  given that  $X > t$ , denoted by  $CTE_X(t) = E(X|X > t)$ , is called the *conditional tail expectation* (CTE) of  $X$  at  $t$ .
- The CTE of continuous risks is a *coherent risk measure* (Artzner et al. 1999).
- $CTE_X(t) = t + E(X - t|X > t)$ , where the conditional random variable  $X - t|X > t$  is known as the *residual lifetime* in reliability (Shaked and Shanthikumar 1994) and the *excess loss* or *excess risk* in insurance and finance (Embrechts et al. 1997).
- The CTE function  $CTE_X(t)$  is increasing in  $t \geq 0$ .

- Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a risk vector, where  $X_i$  denotes risk (claim or loss) in subportfolio  $i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,  $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is the total risk and  $X_{(1)} = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  and  $X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  are extreme risks in the portfolio consisting of the  $n$  subportfolios. In risk analysis, we are interested in the following CTEs:

$$CTE_S(t) = E(S \mid S > t),$$

$$CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t) = E(X_{(1)} \mid X_{(1)} > t),$$

$$CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t) = E(X_{(n)} \mid X_{(n)} > t),$$

$$CTE_{X_i|S}(t) = E(X_i \mid S > t),$$

$$CTE_{X_i|X_{(1)}}(t) = E(X_i \mid X_{(1)} > t),$$

$$CTE_{X_i|X_{(n)}}(t) = E(X_i \mid X_{(n)} > t),$$

$$CTE_{X_i|X_{(n)}}(t) = E(X_{(n)} \mid X_i > t),$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

- $CTE_{X_i|S}(t)$  represents the average contribution of risk  $X_i$  to the total risk  $S$  since  $CTE_S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n CTE_{X_i|S}(t)$  and it is of interest in the study of the risk or capital allocation.
- $E(X_{(1)}|X_{(1)} > t)$  ( $E(X_{(n)}|X_{(n)} > t)$ ) describes the expected minimal (maximal) risk in all the subportfolios given that the minimal (maximal) risk exceeds some threshold  $t$ .
- $E(X_i|X_{(1)} > t)$  represents the average contribution of risk  $X_i$  given that all the risks exceed some value  $t$ . In a group life insurance,  $E(X_i|X_{(1)} > t)$  is the expected lifetime of member  $i$  given that all members are alive at time  $t$ .
- $E(X_i|X_{(n)} > t)$  represents the average contribution of risk  $X_i$  given that at least one risk exceeds a certain value  $t$ . In a group life insurance,  $E(X_i|X_{(n)} > t)$  is the expected lifetime of member  $i$  given that there is at least one member who is alive at time  $t$ .

- **CTEs for dependent risks:**

- Panjer (2001) obtained the explicit formulas of  $CTE_S(t)$  and  $CTE_{X_i|S}(t)$  for **multivariate normal distributions**.
- Landsman and Valdez (2003) obtained the explicit formulas of  $CTE_S(t)$  and  $CTE_{X_i|S}(t)$  for **multivariate elliptical distributions**.
- Cai and Tan (2005) derived the explicit formulas of  $CTE_S(t)$  and  $CTE_{X_i|S}(t)$  for **multivariate skew elliptical distributions**.
- The focus of this paper is to derive the explicit formulas of various CTEs, such as  $CTE_S(t)$ ,  $CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t)$ ,  $CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t)$ ,  $E(X_{(n)}|X_{(1)} > t)$ ,  $E(X_{(n)}|X_i > t)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , for **multivariate phase type (MPH) distributions**.
- What are MPH distributions? Why do we consider MPH distributions for dependent risks?

- **Univariate Phase Type Distributions:**

- Let  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a continuous-time and finite-state Markov chain with state space  $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ , initial distribution  $\beta = (0, \alpha)$ , and generator

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -A\mathbf{e} & A \end{bmatrix},$$

where state 0 is the absorbing state.

- Let  $X = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) = 0\}$  is the absorbing time to the absorbing state 0 in the Markov chain. Then the distribution of the random variable  $X$  is said to be of *phase type* (PH) with representation  $(\alpha, A, d)$ .

- Let  $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ . Then  $X$  is of phase type with representation  $(\alpha, A, d)$  if and only if

$$\bar{F}(x) = \alpha e^{xA} \mathbf{e}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

- If  $X$  has a PH distribution with representation  $(\alpha, A, d)$ , then for any  $t > 0$ , the excess risk  $X - t \mid X > t$  has a PH distribution with representation  $(\alpha_t, A, d)$  and

$$CTE_X(t) = t - \frac{\alpha A^{-1} e^{tA} \mathbf{e}}{\alpha e^{tA} \mathbf{e}},$$

where

$$\alpha_t = \frac{\alpha e^{tA}}{\alpha e^{tA} \mathbf{e}}. \quad (1)$$



- **Multivariate Phase Type Distributions:**

- Let  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a continuous-time Markov chain on a finite state space  $\mathcal{E}$  with generator  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ -A\mathbf{e} & A \end{bmatrix}$ .
- A subset of the state space is said to be a **closed or absorbing subset** if once the process  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  enters the subset,  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  never leaves.
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , be  $n$  closed or absorbing subsets of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $X_i$  be the absorbing time to the absorbing subset  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , i.e.

$$X_i = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \in \mathcal{E}_i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then the joint distribution of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is called a *multivariate phase type* distribution (MPH) with representation  $(\alpha, A, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n)$ , and  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is called a phase type random vector (Assaf et al. (1984)).

- When  $n = 1$ , the MPH distribution reduces to the univariate PH distribution.
- Examples of MPH distributions include, among many others, the well-known Marshall-Olkin distribution (Marshall and Olkin 1967).
- As in the univariate case, MPH distributions (and their densities, Laplace transforms and moments) can be expressed in a closed form.
- The set of  $n$ -dimensional MPH distributions is dense in the set of all distributions on  $[0, \infty)^n$ .
- Any multivariate nonnegative distribution such as multivariate lognormal distribution and multivariate Pareto distribution can be approximated by a sequence of MPH distributions.

- **Our main results on dependent risks with multivariate phase type distributions:** Assume that a risk vector  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  follows a MPH distribution, we derive
  - the PH representations of the total risk  $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  and the extreme risks  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(n)}$  and the explicit formulas of CTEs for  $S$ ,  $X_{(1)}$ , and  $X_{(n)}$ ; and
  - the joint distributions of risk vector  $(X_{(1)}, X_i, X_{(n)})$  and excess risk vector

$$X_1 - t, \dots, X_n - t \mid X_1 > t, \dots, X_n > t$$

and the explicit formulas for  $E(X_{(n)} \mid X_{(1)})$ ,  $E(X_{(n)} \mid X_i)$ , and other CTEs for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- **PH representation and CTE of the total risk:**

- Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a PH type vector with representation  $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, A, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_i, i = 1, \dots, n)$ , where  $A = (a_{i,j})$ . Then

- (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a phase type distribution with representation  $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, T, |\mathcal{E}| - 1)$ , where  $T = (t_{i,j})$  is given by,

$$t_{i,j} = \frac{a_{i,j}}{k(i)}, \quad (2)$$

where  $k(i) = \text{number of indexes in } \{j : i \notin \mathcal{E}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ ; and

- (ii) the CTE of  $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is given by, for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$CTE_S(t) = t - \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} T^{-1} e^{tT} \mathbf{e}}{\boldsymbol{\alpha} e^{tT} \mathbf{e}},$$

where  $T$  is defined by (2). □

- **PH representations and CTE of extreme risks:**

- For any  $d$ -dimensional probability vector  $\alpha$  and any subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ , we denote by  $\alpha_S$  the  $|S|$ -dimensional sub-vector of  $\alpha$  by removing its  $s$ -th entry for all  $s \notin S$ .
- For any  $S \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ , we write  $\alpha_t(S)$  for the following  $|S|$ -dimensional row vector

$$\alpha_t(S) = \frac{\alpha_S e^{tA_S}}{\alpha_S e^{tA_S} \mathbf{e}}.$$

- Define  $A_S$  as the sub-matrix of  $A$  by removing the  $i$ -th row and the  $i$ -th column of  $A$  for all  $i \notin S$ .

– Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be of PH type with representation  $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, A, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n)$ .  
Then

(i)  $X_{(1)}$  is of phase type with representation  $\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathcal{E}_0}}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathcal{E}_0} \mathbf{e}}, A_{\mathcal{E}_0}, |\mathcal{E}_0|\right)$  and

$$CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t) = t - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t(\mathcal{E}_0) A_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{-1} \mathbf{e};$$

(ii)  $X_{(n)}$  is of phase type with representation  $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, A, |\mathcal{E}| - 1)$  and

$$CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t) = t - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t A^{-1} \mathbf{e};$$

(iii) and  $(X_{(n)} - t \mid X_i > t)$  is of phase type with representation  $((\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_i)), A, |\mathcal{E}| - 1)$  and

$$E(X_{(n)} \mid X_i > t) = t - (\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_t(\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_i)) A^{-1} \mathbf{e}.$$

- **Effects of dependence of on the CTEs of the total risk and extreme risks:** Consider a two-dimensional phase type distribution with the state space  $\mathcal{E} = \{12, 2, 1, \emptyset\}$ , the absorbing subsets  $\mathcal{E}_j = \{12, j\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , the initial probability vector  $\alpha = (0, 0, 1)$ , and the sub-generator  $A$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_{12} - \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_{12} - \lambda_2 & 0 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 & -\Lambda + \lambda_{\emptyset} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Lambda = \lambda_{12} + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_{\emptyset}$ .

This example is a two-dimensional Marshall-Olkin distribution (Marshall and Olkin 1967) or the distribution of the joint-life status in a common shock model (Bowers et al. 1997).

**Case 1:**  $\lambda_{12} = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2.5$ ,  $\lambda_\emptyset = 0$ . In this case, the vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  are independent, and

$$\begin{aligned}CTE_S(t) &= 0.4 + t + \frac{0.16}{0.4 + t}, \\CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t) &= 0.2 + t, \\CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t) &= \frac{0.8 + 2t - (0.2 + t)e^{-2.5t}}{2 - e^{-2.5t}}.\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:**  $\lambda_{12} = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1.5$ ,  $\lambda_\emptyset = 1$ . In this case, the vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  are positively dependent, and

$$\begin{aligned}CTE_S(t) &= \frac{1 + 2t - (0.6 + 1.5t)e^{-0.5t}}{2 - 1.5e^{-0.5t}}, \\CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t) &= 0.25 + t, \\CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t) &= \frac{0.8 + 2t - (0.25 + t)e^{-1.5t}}{2 - e^{-1.5t}}.\end{aligned}$$



**Case 3:**  $\lambda_{12} = 2.5$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_\emptyset = 2.5$ . This is the comonotone case where  $X_1 = X_2$ , and so the vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the strongest positive dependence. In this case,

$$CTE_S(t) = 0.8 + t, \quad CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t) = CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t) = 0.4 + t.$$

- In all the three cases,  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the same marginal distributions. The only difference among them is the different correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .
- It can be verified that the correlation coefficient of  $(X_1, X_2)$  in Case 1 is smaller than that in Case 2, which, in turn, is smaller than that in Case 3.
- Tables 1 and 2 show that the  $CTE_S(t)$  and  $CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t)$  become larger as the correlation grows. However,  $CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t)$  is neither increasing nor decreasing as the correlation grows.

Table 1: Effects of Dependence on the CTE of  $S$

	$CTE_S(t)$		
$t$	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
2	2.4667	2.5381	2.8
4	4.4364	4.5113	4.8
6	6.4250	6.5039	6.8
8	8.4191	8.5014	8.8

Table 2: Effects of Dependence on the CTEs of  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(n)}$

	$CTE_{X_{(1)}}(t)$			$CTE_{X_{(n)}}(t)$		
$t$	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
2	2.2	2.25	2.4	2.4007	2.4038	2.4
4	4.2	4.25	4.4	4.4000	4.4002	4.4
6	6.2	6.25	6.4	6.4000	6.4000	6.4
8	8.2	8.25	8.4	8.4000	8.4000	8.4