

# Stochastic Surrender With Asymmetric Information

Astin.

Jérôme Barbarin.

September 2005



# Outline and Objectives.

1. Introduction.
2. No arbitrage hypothesis and enlargement of filtration.
  - Impact of the No Arbitrage (NA) hypothesis on the surrender time  $\tau$  (and vice versa).
3. Stochastic surrender with asymmetry of information.
  - Surrender time = random time with Hazard process.
4. Risk neutral valuation with asymmetry of information.
  - General formulas.
5. Conclusion.



# Introduction.

# Introduction.

- The financial market :
  - 1 locally risk free asset ( $S_t^0$ ) strictly positive :  $S_t^0 = \exp(D_t)$ .
  - s risky assets ( $S_t^i$  for  $i=1, \dots, s$ ).
- Hypothesis : No arbitrage opportunity in the financial market.
  - ➔ There exists a measure Q equivalent to P such that  $S_t^i \setminus S_t^0$  are (local)-martingales with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_t = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  : filtration generated by the prices of these (s+1) financial assets.

# Introduction.

- The insurer's information.
  - The information coming from the financial market :  $\mathbb{F}$ .
  - The information related to the surrender time  $\tau$  :  $\mathbb{H}$  = filtration generated by  $H_t = 1_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$ .

→ Insurer's information :

$\mathbb{G}$  where  $\mathbb{G} = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  where  $G_t = F_t \vee \mathbb{H}_t$ .

Rem : We do not assume the independence between  $F_t$  and  $\mathbb{H}_t$



# **NA Hypothesis and Enlargement of Filtration.**

# NA Hypothesis and Enlargement of Filtration.

- Risk neutral valuation with respect to  $\mathbb{F}$  implies the NA hypothesis holds on the financial market with respect to  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- Is this reasonable ?
  - Yes, means the surrender decision does not carry any information that can induce arbitrages.
- Problem :
  - Discounted financial prices should be  $(\mathbb{F}, Q)$ -local martingales. But  $(\mathbb{G}, Q)$ -local martingales are not necessarily  $(\mathbb{F}, Q)$ -local martingales.

# NA Hypothesis and Enlargement of Filtration.

- Sufficient condition for NA under  $\mathbb{P}$  :
  - Each  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ -local martingale is a  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ -local martingale.
  - Equivalent to the (H) hypothesis in probability :
    - « For every  $t$ ,  $F_\infty$  and  $G_t$  are conditionally independent with respect to  $F_t$  »
- Does NA under  $\mathbb{P}$  implies the (H) hypothesis ?
  - If the financial market is complete under  $\mathbb{P}$  : Yes.
  - If incomplete : ?







# NA Hypothesis and Enlargement of Filtration.

- In our setting, (H) hypothesis is equivalent to :

$$Q(\tau \leq t | F_\infty) = Q(\tau \leq t | F_t)$$

- Ex :

- If  $\tau$  is a -stopping time, then (H) holds ( = ,  no enlargement of filtration).

- If  $\tau$  is independent of , then (H) holds.


Ex : mortality, surrender with a priori fixed probabilities.

Rem : (H) is not invariant for an equivalent change of probability measure.



# **Surrender with Asymmetry of Information**

# Surrender time in the academic literature.

- Surrender time is a -optimal stopping time (Bacinello, Grosen and Jorgensen,...).
  - Surrender the first time the surrender value is higher or equal to the « fair value » of the insurance contract.
- Advantage :
  - Endogenous definition of the surrender time.
    - The surrender time depends intrinsically on the specificities of the insurance contract.
    - The surrender time depends on the evolution of the financial market.

# Surrender time in the academic literature.

- Drawback :
  - The surrender decision is only based on the financial information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .
    - $\mathcal{F}_t$  is sufficient to know if someone has surrendered before  $t$ .
    - Idiosyncratic information is not relevant.
      - For a given contract, all the policyholders surrender at the same time (0-1 situation).
    - If  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is a brownian filtration, the surrender time is predictable.
      - no surprise.
    - If the financial market is complete, the insurance market is also complete.
  - These drawbacks come from the assumption :  $\tau$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -stopping time
    - Perfect symmetry of information assumption.
- $\tau$  should'nt be a  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -stopping time.
  - introduce an asymmetry of information

# Surrender time with asymmetry of information.

- a  $\mathcal{F}$ -hazard process  $\hat{\Gamma}_t$  is defined by :

$$Q(\tau \leq t | F_t) = 1 - \exp(-\hat{\Gamma}_t) \quad \forall t$$

- Remarks :
  - We have  $\hat{\Gamma}_t = -\ln(1 - Q(\tau \leq t | F_t))$ . So if  $Q(\tau \leq t | F_t) = 1 \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_t$  is not defined.
  - If  $\tau$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -stopping time  $\rightarrow Q(\tau \leq t | F_t) = 0$  ou  $1 \rightarrow$  no  $\mathcal{F}$ -hazard process.
  - Essentially, every random time not  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable admits a  $\mathcal{F}$ -hazard process.
- (H)-hypothesis :  $Q(\tau \leq t | F_t)$  is increasing.

# Surrender time with asymmetry of information.

- If  $\tau$  is measurable with respect to a filtration independent of  $\mathbb{F}$ .
    - The policyholder takes his decision only on idiosyncratic informations not observed by the insurer.
    - $Q(\tau \leq t | \mathbb{F}_t) = Q(\tau \leq t) \rightarrow$  a priori fixed probabilities of surrender.
  - If  $\tau$  is measurable with respect to a bigger filtration than  $\mathbb{F}$ .
    - The policyholder takes his decision on the financial information + idiosyncratic informations not observed by the insurer.
    - $\tau$  is not independent of the financial market.
    - $Q(\tau \leq t | \mathbb{F}_t)$  is a stochastic process  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted  $\rightarrow$  the surrender probabilities depend on the financial market.
    - Rem :  $\tau$  can still be an optimal stopping time.
- $\rightarrow$  Reconciles endogenous and exogenous models of  $\tau$  under the Asymmetry of information assumption.



# **Risk Neutral Valuation Formula with Asymmetry of Information.**

# The Insurance Contract.

- Notation :  $H_t = 1_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$
- Insurer Payments : 3 building blocks
  - A) Term  $T$  of the contract if no surrender :  
$$g(T, \omega) 1_{\{\tau > T\}} \quad (\text{with } g(T, \omega) \text{ is } F_T\text{-Mesurable}).$$
  - B) Cumulated payments up to surrender:  
$$C(T, \omega) 1_{\{\tau > T\}} + C(\tau, \omega) 1_{\{t_0 < \tau \leq T\}} = \int_{t_0}^T (1 - H_u) dC(u, \omega)$$
  - C) the surrender value :  
$$R(\tau, \omega) 1_{\{t_0 < \tau \leq T\}} = \int_{t_0}^T R(u, \omega) dH_u$$
- No mortality.



# The Insurance Contract.

- Policyholder Payments :
  - Premiums paid at fixed dates  $t_i$  avec  $i = 0, \dots, N-1$ .

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} P(t_i, \omega) 1_{\{\tau > t_i\}}$$

# Risk Neutral Valuation.

- General Risk neutral valuation formulas :
  - Present value of the insurer payments :

$$L_t = E^Q \left[ e^{-(D_T - D_t)} g(T, \omega) 1_{\{\tau > T\}} + \int_t^T e^{-(D_u - D_t)} (1 - H_u) dC(u, \omega) + \int_t^T e^{-(D_u - D_t)} R(u, \omega) dH_u \mid G_t \right]$$

- Present value of the policyholder payments :

$$A_t = E^Q \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} e^{-(D_i - D_t)} P(t_i, \omega) 1_{\{\tau > t_i\}} \mid G_t \right]$$

- Insurance contract's « Fair value » :  $V_t = A_t - L_t$

# Risk Neutral Valuation Formula.

- If  $\tau$  admits an increasing  $(Q, \mathbb{P})$ -hazard process  $\hat{\Gamma}_t$  :
  - Present value of the insurer's payments :

$$L_t = 1_{\{\tau > t\}} E^Q \left[ \begin{array}{l} e^{-(D_T - D_t)} e^{-(\hat{\Gamma}_T - \hat{\Gamma}_t)} g(T, \omega) \\ + \int_t^T e^{-(D_u - D_t)} e^{-(\hat{\Gamma}_u - \hat{\Gamma}_t)} dC(u, \omega) \\ + \int_t^T e^{-(D_u - D_t)} e^{\hat{\Gamma}_t} R(u, \omega) d(1 - e^{-\hat{\Gamma}_u}) \end{array} \middle| F_t \right]$$

- Present value of the policyholder's payments :

$$A_t = 1_{\{\tau > t\}} E^Q \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} e^{-(D_{t_i} - D_t)} e^{-(\hat{\Gamma}_{t_i} - \hat{\Gamma}_t)} P(t_i, \omega) \middle| F_t \right]$$

→ Equivalent to no surrender but modified yield curve :  $(D + \hat{\Gamma})_t$

- Rem : no continuity assumption needed on  $\hat{\Gamma}$  .



# Conclusions.

# Conclusions.

1. Equivalence between NA and (H) hypothesis (under market completeness).
2. Asymmetry of information assumption :
  - Has more realistic implications.
    - $\mathcal{F}_t$  is no more sufficient to know if someone has surrendered or not.
    - Surrender decision depends on idiosyncratic elements.
    - Surrender decision can depend on the financial market.
    - The surrender is not predictable.
    - Incompleteness of insurance market with respect to surrender risk.
  - Imply the existence of an  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -hazard process.
    - Weak assumption on the proba of a surrender risk.
    - No continuity of the hazard process + Intensity does not have to exist.
    - Pricing equivalent to pricing with a modified stochastic yield curve.
    - For the insurer, under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the surrender time is the time of the first jump of a cox process.

# Conclusion.

- Reconcile exogenously and endogenously defined surrender times under the asymmetry of information assumption.
  - Endogenous surrender : Out of reach ?
  - Exogenous surrender : the only one useful in practice ?
    - Need more econometric research.
- Material not cover in this presentation :
  - Change of measure for the enlarged filtration.
  - Application to unit-linked contract.