

Risk measures and efficient use of capital

Ph. Artzner, ULP Strasbourg, F. Delbaen
ETH Zürich, P. Koch-Medina, Swiss Re

- Intuition on FX risk
- Simple example of capital choice
- Generalisation to currency invariance
- Difficulties with TailVaR

Currency risk

- Business in euros and pounds: (buffer-) capital better be in euros and pounds
- Same cost, same value, of extra basket of capital, both in Francfort and in London ?

Example of P/L - FX correlation

- Date 0 FX rate 1/1
- Date 1 random FX rate : # of **foreign** to get one *domestic* :

(1/2 , 1 , 3)

Date 1 net worth domestic (-2, 6, -1)

required date 0 capital *domestic* : + 2
(worst-case risk measure)

required date 0 capital **foreign** : +3

Efficient choice of capital

Deal with ($-2, 6, -1$) and rate *domestic* to **foreign** of ($1/2, 1, 3$)

solve : $\min (d + \mathbf{f})$ such that (zero int. rates)

$$d + 2 \mathbf{f} - 2 > 0$$

$$d + 1/3 \mathbf{f} - 1 > 0$$

and get $d = 4/5, \mathbf{f} = 3 / 5$, at a date 0 cost of $7/5$ (domestic or foreign) !

Generalisation

Exchange rates e_0 and e_1 , P/L X *domestic*

$$\min\{ m; d + \mathbf{f} / e_1 + X \in \mathbf{A}, d + \mathbf{f} / e_0 = m \}$$

and with numéraires r and \mathbf{s} :

$$\min\{ m; rd + \mathbf{s}\mathbf{f} / e_1 + rX \in \mathbf{A}, d + \mathbf{f} / e_0 = m \}$$

Results

With a coherent acceptance set \mathbf{A} in future domestic currency the optimisation above leads to an extended acceptance set \mathbf{B} such that the coherent risk measures ρ and σ defined by \mathbf{B} and by $e_1\mathbf{B}$ satisfy for each X

$$\sigma(e_1 r/s X) = e_0 \rho(X)$$

London Francfort

About distribution invariance

Back to FX rate $(1/2, 1, 3)$, and a permuted P/L:
 $(-1, 6, -2)$ instead of $(-2, 6, -1)$

solve for $\min(d + \mathbf{f})$ such that:

$$d + 2\mathbf{f} - 1 > 0$$

$$d + 1/3\mathbf{f} - 2 > 0$$

and get $d = 2$, $\mathbf{f} = 0$ at a cost of 2 : the derived measure is not distribution invariant !

TailVaR in Francfort and London do not fit via e_0 !