

# CONTRIBUTION N° 56

## A DURATION RULE FOR EVALUATING CORPORATE PENSION PLAN GENEROSITY

---

PAR / BY

**Jidah A. NADER**

Canada

---

UNE REGLE D'EVALUATION DE  
LA GENEROSITE D'UN PLAN  
DE RETRAITE D'ENTREPRISE,  
FONDEE SUR LA DUREE

## 114 UNE REGLE D'ÉVALUATION DE LA GÉNÉROSITÉ D'UN PLAN DE RETRAITE D'ENTREPRISE, FONDÉE SUR LA DURÉE

JIDAH S. NADER, PH. D., ASSURANCES ET FINANCES, MONTRÉAL (CANADA)

### RESUME

La **durée** a été largement utilisée dans l'élaboration de **stratégies** d'investissement associées aux **portefeuilles** de retraite. Toutefois, son utilisation dans la gestion des engagements en **matière** de retraite est restée extrêmement limitée. Cet article expose une **règle** fondée sur la durée, applicable à l'évaluation de l'évolution de la **générosité** d'un plan de retraite et de ses effets sur l'**entreprise** sponsor. La **règle** emploie une **mesure** de la durée fondée sur le **modèle d'évaluation** bien connu de Gordon, pour élaborer une expression de **forme fermée** de la **relation** entre le **coût** marginal pour l'**entreprise** et l'**économie** résultant du changement de **générosité** du plan de retraite. Ainsi, la **règle** élimine la **nécessité** d'incorporer des projections **actuarielles** et des incidences **fiscales** dans le **processus d'évaluation** de l'évolution de la **générosité** du plan de retraite. Un exemple numérique illustre l'application de la **règle** de durée.

A DURATION RULE FOR EVALUATING PENSION  
PLAN GENEROSITY

115

JIHAD S. NADER

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF COMMERCE AND ADMINISTRATION

1455 DE MAISONNEUVE BLVD. WEST MONTREAL, CANADA

H3G 1M6

**ABSTRACT**

Duration has been used widely in the development of investment strategies for a pension portfolios. However, its use in pension liability management has remained extremely limited. This paper develops a duration rule for evaluating changes in pension plan generosity and their effects on the sponsoring firm. The rule employs a duration measure based on the well - known Gordon model of stock valuation, to develop a closed - form expression of the relationship between the firm's incremental costs and savings from changing pension plan generosity. In this manner, the rule eliminates the need to incorporate actuarial projections and tax effects into the process of evaluating pension plan generosity changes. A numerical example is provided to illustrate the application of the duration rule.

---

With greater emphasis being placed on integrating the benefit area into the pension management process, <sup>1</sup> there is a growing need for procedures that can be used in evaluating a firm's pension benefit policy. A key element of benefit policy and, increasingly, of the collective bargaining process, is pension plan generosity <sup>2</sup>. Evidence from the labor market indicates that a systematic increase in real generosity of the U.S. private pension system has taken place over the entire 30 - year period since World War II<sup>3</sup>. Firms' apparent motivation for granting such generosity increases consists of extracting current wage concessions from their workers. However, this wage - pension tradeoff has been examined primarily from an employee perspective? whereas procedures for evaluating its effects on firms have yet to be developed.

This paper develops a procedure in which a duration measure, based on Gordon's constant growth model, is employed to evaluate corporate policy towards pension plan generosity. Durand, who first developed this measure for common stocks, suggested that it might be useful for selecting growth stocks for pension portfolios, whenever the duration of the pension liabilities was too long to be matched by portfolios consisting entirely of bonds.<sup>5</sup> Ever since, the measure's use in pension management has been confined to the investment area.<sup>6</sup> By extending its application to the pension benefit area, this paper demonstrates that the constant - growth duration measure may also have a role to play in pension liability management.

**Duration and Constant Growth** : Basic Relationships

For a perpetual stream which **grows** at a constant rate  $g$ , and for which the **appropriate** discount rate is  $k$  ( $k > g$ ), **duration** is **expressed as** :<sup>7</sup>

$$D = \frac{1+k}{k-g} \quad (1)$$

**Two** other expressions occur in the development of the duration rule below. The first is  $(1+g)/(k-g)$ , and it can readily be obtained from Equation (1) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} D - 1 &= \frac{1+k}{k-g} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1+k-k+g}{k-g} \\ &= \frac{1+g}{k-g} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The second expression is  $(1+g)/(1+k)$ , and it can be obtained from Equation (2) as follows :

$$(D - 1)(k - g) = 1 + g \quad (3)$$

Dividing by  $1+k$  :

$$(D - 1) \frac{(k - g)}{(1 + k)} = \frac{1 + g}{1 + k} \quad (4)$$

From Equation (1), we *can* write Equation (4) as :

$$(D - 1) \frac{1}{D} = \frac{1 + g}{1 + k} \quad (5)$$

which further simplifies to :

$$1 - \frac{1}{D} = \frac{1 + g}{1 + k} \quad (6)$$

**Derivation of the Duration Rule**

For simplicity, we **consider** the case of a defined benefit pension plan which uses a service - related final salary **formula**.<sup>8</sup> If the **firm grants** a **non - retroactive** increase in the plan's generosity, then the firm's resulting incremental pension **costs** will have a present value :

$$C_0 = (b^*W_0^* - bW_0) T \left( \frac{1+g}{1+k} \right)^T \quad (7)$$

where

$b$  = initial pension benefit rate as a percentage of final salary,

$b^*$  = increased **benefit** rate,

$W_o$  = current wage demand, given benefit rate  $b$ ,

$W_o^*$  = reduced wage **demand**, given an increased benefit rate  $b^*$ ,

$T$  = years remaining until retirement,

$g$  = salary growth rate assumption, and

$k$  = discount rate for valuing the pension liabilities.

**Substituting** the left - hand side (LHS) of Equation (6) into Equation (7), we have :

$$C_o = (b^*W_o^* - bW_o) T \left(1 - \frac{1}{D}\right)^T \quad (8)$$

The firm's incremental savings from such a negotiated increase in pension plan generosity consist of the current wage **concession** extracted from the **workers**, projected as a stream over the next  $T$  years. **The present** value of this stream is :

$$S_o = (W_o - W_o^*) \sum_{t=0}^T \left(\frac{1+g}{1+k}\right)^t \quad (9)$$

A closed - form expression of Equation (9) can be obtained by **recognizing** that the summation appearing in this equation is equivalent to (i) the present value of a \$1 **perpetuity** which starts at  $t = 0$  and **grows** at  $g$ , minus (ii) the present value of another perpetuity which starts with  $\$(1+g)^{T+1}$  at time  $T+1$  and **grows** at  $g$ . This difference between (i) and (ii) is represented by the **term** appearing in square **brackets**, in the following closed - **form** expression of Equation (9) :

$$S_o = (W_o - W_o^*) \left[ 1 + \frac{1+g}{k-g} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{1+g}{1+k}\right)^T \right\} \right] \quad (10)$$

Pursuant to Equations (2) and (6), Equation (10) can be written in terms of **duration**, as follows :

$$S_o = (W_o - W_o^*) \left[ 1 + (D - 1) \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{D}\right)^T \right\} \right] \quad (11)$$

**In order** to adhere to the **value - maximization** rule, the firm **should** only grant an increase in pension plan generosity if  $C_o \leq S_o$ . **From Equations(8) and (11), this** requires that :

$$(b^*W_o^* - bW_o) T \left(1 - \frac{1}{D}\right)^T \leq (W_o - W_o^*) \left[ 1 + (D - 1) \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{D}\right)^T \right\} \right] \quad (12)$$

Rearranging terms and simplifying, we have :

$$\frac{b^*W_o^* - bW_o}{W_o - W_o^*} \leq \frac{1}{T} \left[ 1 + D \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{D}\right)^T} - 1 \right\} \right] \quad (13)$$

Relation (13) provides a greatly **simplified** rule for **financial** evaluation of **corporate pension** benefit policy. Its simplicity lies in **that** it is based entirely on current wage **and** pension data : thus the need for projections **does not arise**. In particular, it eliminates the need to consider actuarial **decrements** (mortality, disability **and** withdrawal) which **affect** the stream **of** incremental costs and savings, since the effects of such decrements are common to the **numerator** and **denominator of the LHS** of Relation (13) ; thus, these effects cancel out. Similarly, **tax** effects need not be **considered**, since they also cancel out in the same way.

### A Numerical Illustration

The following example illustrates the use of the duration rule. Consider a pension plan of the type described above, and let  $b = 2\%$ . **Assume** the **firm's** valuation of its pension liability is based on  $g = 3\%$  and  $k = 10\%$ . From Equation (1),  $D = 1.10 / (0.10 - 0.03) = 15.71$  years. Assume further that the workforce is equally divided between **two** age cohorts, 20 years and 50 years **d d** respectively. For the 20 - year - olds,  $W_o = \$30,000$  per worker ; for the 50 - year - olds,  $W_o = \$50,000$  per worker. Management can **extract** wage demand **concessions** equal to **1% of**  $W_o$  from both **cohorts**, if the pension benefit rate is **increased** to  $b^* = 3\%$ . **That is**,  $W^{*o} = 0.99 (\$ 30,000) = \$29,700$  for the 20 - year - olds, and  $W^{*o} = 0.99 (\$ 50,000) = \$49,500$  for the 50 - year - olds. Retirement **occurs** at age 65 ; thus  $T = 45$  f a the younger cohort and  $T = 15$  for the **older cohort**. Using Relation (13), we have :

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{LHS} = 0.97 & \text{and} & \text{RHS} = 6.40 & \text{for 20-year-olds;} \\ \text{LHS} = 0.97 & \text{and} & \text{RHS} = 1.83 & \text{for 50-year-olds.} \end{array}$$

Since  $\text{LHS} < \text{RHS}$  for both cohorts, the proposed increase in pension plan generosity is favorable to the firm. In the event that  $\text{LHS} < \text{RHS}$  f a one *cohort* and  $\text{LHS} > \text{RHS}$  for the other, the overall effect of **increasing** pension plan **generosity** would be **favorable** to the firm, if the  $\text{LHS} - \text{RHS}$  difference for the **first cohort overwhelms** (in absolute magnitude) the  $\text{LHS} - \text{RHS}$  difference for the second cohort.

Finally, the duration rule can readily be generalized to retroactive increases in pension plan generosity. If  $N$  denotes **total** years of service, from hiring **date** to retirement ( $N \geq T$ ), then such generosity **increases can** be analyzed by substituting  $1/N$  in place of  $1/T$  in the RHS of Relation (13).

### FOOTNOTES

1. See R. J. Arnott and P. L. Bernstein, "The Right Way to Manage your Pension **Fund**", Harvard Business Review, **January/February** 1988, pp. 95 - 102.
2. See D.M. McGill, **Fundamentals** of Private Pensions, 5th e d (Homewood, IL : Richard D. Irwin, Inc., 1984), p. 95.
3. See R. A. Ippolito, Pensions, Economics and Public Policy (Homewood, IL : Dow Jones - Irwin, 1986). p. 90.
4. See, for example, B . Schiller and R. Weiss, "Pensions and Wages : A Test for Equalizing Differences", Review of **Economics** and Statistics, November 1980, pp. 529

-538 ; and R.S. Smith, "**Compensating** Differentials for **Pensions and Underfunding** in the Public Sector", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, August 1981, pp. 463 - 467.

5. D. Durand, "Growth Stocks and the Petersburg Paradox", *Journal of Finance*, September 1957, pp. 348-363.

6. See, for example, J.A. Boquist, G.A. Racette and G.G. Schlarbaum, "**Duration and Risk** Assessment for Bonds and Common Stocks", *Journal of Finance*, December 1975, pp. 1360 - 1365 ; A.O. Williams and P.F. Pfeifer, "**Estimating** Security Price Risk Using Duration and Price Elasticity", *Journal of Finance*, May 1982, pp. 399-411 ; and P.A. Casabona, F.J. Fabozzi, and J.C. Francis, "How to Apply **Duration** to Equity Analysis", *Journal of Portfolio Management*, Winter 1984, pp. 52 - 58.

7. Durand, "Growth **Stocks**", *op. cit.*

8. For a detailed discussion of pension plan design, see McGill, *Fundamentals of Private Pensions*, *op. cit.*

WORKING PAPERS LIST  
Faculty of Commerce and Administration  
Concordia University

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
1.	Gina Bravo Brenda MacGibbon-Taylor	Mathématiques et d'information et Decision Science & Management Information Systems	88-001	Improved Shrinkage Estimators for the Mean Vector of a Scale Mixture of Normals with Unknown Variance	23
2.	A Bakr Ibrahim Kamal Argheyd	Centre for Small Business and Entrepreneurial Studies	88-002	Small Business in China-A Window of Opportunity for Canadian Entrepreneurs	9
3.	Kamal Argheyd Share Rosen	Management	88-003	Culture, Values and All That	21
4.	Annamma Joy	Management	88-004	Gender, Social Structure and the Marketing Process Implications for Development	20
5.	Annamma Joy	Management	88-005	Celebration and Conemption: A Study of West Indian Carnival in Quebec	29
6.	Michel Laroche, Jerry A Rosenblatt, Alan Hochstein, James Convery	Marketing	88-006	Consumer Selection of a Service Outlets An Empirical Study of Fast Food Establishments	19
7.	Gina Bravo Brenda MacGibbon	Decision Sciences and Management Information Systems	88-007	Improved Estimation for the Parameters of an Inverse Gaussian Distribution	19
8.	Chankon Kim, Michel Laroche, Brenda Lee	Marketing	88-008	An Empirical Study of the Relationship Between Ethnicity and Leisure Participation	29
9.	Meral Demirbag Buyukkurt	Decision Sciences and Management Information Systems	88-009	Stationary Properties of a Two-Echelon Repairable-Item Inventory System with State-Dependent Failure and Repair Rates	24



WORKING PAPERS LIST  
Faculty of **Commerce** and Administration  
Concordia **University**

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
10.	VH Kirpalani, Michel Laroche, Renb Y. Dermon	Marketing	88-010	Role of Headquarter Control by Multinationals on International Advertising Decisions	25
11.	Jihad S. Nader	Finance	88-011	A Financial Evaluation of Public Policy Towards Pension Plans	10
12.	Jihad S. Nader	Finance	88-012	Lifetime Labor Contracts, Pension Fund Immunization, and Sponsors' Attitudes Towards Pension Obligation Risk	18
13.	Viahwanath V. Baba	Management	88-013	Central Life Interests and Job Involvements: A Cross-cultural Comparison of Nigeria and Trinidad	32
14.	Louis Desjardins Michel Laroche	Marketing	88-014	A Study of Current Advertising Budgeting Practices of Canadian Advertisers and Agencies	26
15.	Jean-Marie Bourjolly	Decision Sciences and Management Information Systems	88-015	An Efficient pseudo-Boolean Method for Solving the Maximum Flow Problem	10
16.	Chankon Kim, Hanjoon Lee	Marketing	88-016	Sex Role Attitudes of Spouses and Task Sharing Behavior	20
17.	Chankon Kim, Michel Laroche, Elna Guttenberg	Marketing	88-017	A Study of the Content8 of Husbands' and Wives' Evoked Sets of Vacation Destination8	21
18.	Chankon Kim, Michel Laroche, Brenda Lee	Marketing	88-018	Development and Validation of an Index of Ethnicity Based on Communication Patterns among French and English Canndiane	21
19.	Jerry Rosenblatt, Michel Laroche, Alan Hochatein, Ronald McTavish, Maureen Sheahan	Marketing	88-019	Commercial Banking in Canada: A Study of the Selection Criteria and Service Expectations of Corporate Treasurers	31
20.	WD Taylor	Management	88-020	Changes in the Quebec Business Culture: A Quantum Revolution	16

**WORKING PAPERS LIST**  
Faculty of Commerce and Administration  
Concordia University

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
21.	Brenda MacGibbon	Decision Sciences and Management Information Systems	88-021	On the Application of Conditional Expectations to the Calculation of Moments of the Products of Random Variables	5
22.	Gary Johna	Management	88-022	Substantive and Methodological Constraints on Behavior and Attitudes in Organizational Research	38
23.	Viehwath V. Baba	Management	88-023	Methodological and Substantive Issues in Welling Absence: An Empirical Study	15
24.	S. Kemal Buyukkurt, Meral Demirbag Buyukkurt	Marketing & DS & MIS	88-024	Numerical versus Graphical Methods of Elicitation of Subjective Probability Distributions: Perceived Difficulty and Coherency in an Interactiv Setting	9
25.	S. Kemal Buyukkurt, Meral Demirbag Buyukkurt	Marketing & DS & MIS	88-025	An Experimental Study of the Effectiveness of Three Debiasing Techniques	
26.	Brenda MacGibbon	Decision Sciences & Management Information Systems	88-026	A Theoretical Approach to the Evaluation of Costs of Misclassification Errors in Genetic Screening Programmes Using Quadratic Discriminant Analysis	12
27.	ChanKon Kim, Michel Laroche	Marketing	88-027	Ethnicity and Life Style in a Bi-Cultural Environment: An Empirical Study	16
28.	ChanKon Kim, Michel Laroche	Marketing	88-028	An Empirical Study of the Effects of Ethnicity on Consumption Patterns in a Bi-Cultural Environment	23
29.	Fassil Nebebe, Denis Kira	Decision Sciences & Management Information Systems	88-029	Estimating Elasticities in Pulp and Paper Industry	28
30.	Muhammad Jamal, Jia-Lin Xie	Management	88-030	Canadian Employees on Shiftwork: How Much Do We Really Know?	8

WORKING PAPERS LIST  
Faculty of Commerce and Administration  
Concordia University

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
31.	Muhammed Jamal, V.V. Baba	Managanant	88-031	Stressful Jobs and Employee Productivity: <b>Some Thoughts and Findings</b>	
32.	Meral Demirbag Büyükkurt, Everett C. Vase	Decision Sciencea & Management Information Systems	88-032	A Study of Factors Influencing Satisfaction with End-User <b>Computing</b>	30
33.	Richard Class	Decision Sciencea & Management Information Systems	88-033	Eliciting Expert <b>Knowledge: A Cognitive Mapping Technique for Knowledge Acquisition and Expert System Development</b>	28
34.	Alan Hochatein, Michael B. Beigleman	Finance	88-034	Hospital Service Adjustments at Four Montreal <b>Hospitals: A Response to Patient Health Care Needs</b>	33
35.	M. Rai Kapoor	Accountancy	88-10-35	Accounting Students and Access to Instructors' Manuala	11
36.	D.H. Murray, D.S. Kira, M.I. Kusy	Decision Sciencea & Management Information Systems	88-10-36	Selection of IS Project Portfolios: <b>A Risk Management Approach</b>	28
37.	D.S. Kira, M.I. Kusy	Decision Sciences & Managemnt Information Systems	88-10-37	A Stochastic Capital Rationing Model	27
38.	Ulrike de Brentani	Marketing	88-11-38	New Product Performance in Industrial Service <b>Firms</b>	31
39.	Harold A. Gram, Ronald L. Crawford	Management	88-11-39	A <b>Decision Model for Business Ethics</b>	28
40.	Jihad S. Nader	Finance	88-11-40	Duration, <b>Systematic Risk, and Employee Valuation of Default-free Pension Claims</b>	20
41.	Joya Sen, J.P. Das	Management	88-11-41	Planning <b>Competence and Managerial Excellancer A Reearch Framework</b>	23

WORKING PAPERS LIST  
Faculty of **Commerce** and **Administration**  
Concordie **University**

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
42.	Joya Sen, J.P. <b>Das</b>	<b>Management</b>	<b>88-11-42</b>	<b>Roles of Managers as Perceived by M.B.A. Students</b>	<b>20</b>
43.	<b>Vishwanath V. Baba, Muhammad Jamal</b>	<b>Management</b>	<b>88-11-43</b>	<b>Routinization of Work and the Quality of Working Life: A Study of Canadian Nurses</b>	<b>9</b>
44.	SC. <b>Beiner, S.K. Coyal</b>	<b>Accountancy</b>	<b>88-11-44</b>	<b>Accounting Implications of Just in Time Production System</b>	<b>6</b>
		<b>Decision Sciences &amp; Management Information Systems</b>			
45.	Carole P. <b>Duhaine, Christopher A. Ross</b>	<b>Marketing</b>	<b>88-11-45</b>	<b>Management Technology Transfer to Developing Countries: Problems &amp; Possible Solutions</b>	<b>19</b>
46.	V.H. Kirpalani M. <b>Laroche</b>	<b>Marketing</b>	<b>88-11-46</b>	<b>International Advertising Standardization Strategy</b>	<b>15</b>
47.	Jeong-Bon Kim	<b>Accountancy</b>	<b>88-11-47</b>	<b>Cost-Volume-Value Analysis Under Uncertainty</b>	<b>15</b>
48.	Jeong-Bon Kim Roland Lipka	<b>Accountancy</b>	<b>88-11-48</b>	<b>Evidence on the Superiority of Successful Efforts Information for Risk Assessment in the Oil and Gas Industry</b>	<b>28</b>
49.	<b>Meral Demirbag Büyükkurt Mahmut Parlar</b>	<b>Decision Sciences &amp; Management Information Systems</b>	<b>88-11-49</b>	<b>Comparison of Allocation Policies in a Two-Echelon Repairable-Item Inventory Model</b>	<b>19</b>
50.	<b>Emmanuel J. Chéron, Ronald McTavish &amp; Jean Perrien</b>	<b>Marketing</b>	<b>88-11-50</b>	<b>Segmentation of Bank Commercial Markets with Area Coding and Perceived Importance-Performance of Financial Services</b>	<b>23</b>
51.	<b>Themistocles Politof</b>	<b>Decision Sciences &amp; Management Information Systems</b>	<b>88-12-51</b>	<b>Y- Reducible Graphs</b>	<b>25</b>

WORKING PAPERS LIST  
Faculty of Commerce and Administration  
Concordie University

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
52.	Themistocles Politof	Decision Sciences & Management Information Systems	88-12-52	n-Y Reducible Graphs	48
53.	Jihad S. Nader	Finance	88-12-53	Corporate Pension Plan Design in a Mean-Variance Framework	20
54.	Ulriks de Brsntani	Marketing	89-01-01	Developing New Services: Measurements and Determinants of Performance	45
55.	Jongseuk Ye, Hanjoon Lee, Chankon Kim	Marketing	89-01-02	An Investigation of the Stereotyping of Foreign Products by Korean Consumers: A Multiple Cue Study	19
56.	Linda Dyer	Management	89-01-03	Mood, Cognitive Distortions and Risk-taking	
57.	Lise Heroux, Ronald McTavish	Marketing	89-02-04	Industrial Buyer-Seller Interaction: A Script Theory Approach	29
58.	Jihad S. Nader	finance	89-03-05	Compensating Wage Differentials, Lifetime Labor Contracts, and Capital Budgeting for Pension Benefits	14
59.	Giorgio Pederzoli	Decision Sciences & Management Information Systems	89-03-06	Market Equilibria and Market Games	23
60.	Michel Laroche, Robert Sadokierski	Marketing	89-04-07	A Study of the Role of Confidence in a Multi-Brand Model of Intentions for a High Involvement Situation	14
61.	Jean-Marie Bourjolly, Peter L. Hammer, William R. Pulleyblank, Bruno Simeone	Decision Sciences & Management Information Systems	89-04-08	Combinatorial Methods for Bounding Quadratic Pseudo-Boolean Functions	34

WORKING PAPERS LIST  
Faculty of Commerce and Administration  
Concordia University

No.	Author	Department	Paper #	Title	Pages
62.	Jihad S. Nader	Finance	89-04-09	Pension Inducements for Early Retirement: Their NPV to Sponsoring Firms	16
63.	Jihad S. Nader	Finance	89-04-10	A Duration Rule for Evaluating Pension Plan Generosity	7