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## INTRODUCTION

Nowadays Mexico faces one of the biggest demographic challenges in its history: the population ageing. This phenomenon will bring with it many challenges of various kinds, including those concerning to pension systems in Social Security, however, during this process Mexico has presented a phenomenon known as the demographic bonus (also known as the demographic dividend), which has as its main characteristic the decrease of the dependency ratio as a consequence of the constant increase in the proportion of working age population.

One area that is believed may be benefited from the demographic bonus is Social Security in particular in the financing of the retirement pensions so, the aim of this investigation is to analyze under what circumstances this would be possible, considering the conditions of unemployment and informal employment, and finally, to conclude if it is possible to take advantage of this phenomenon for the benefit of the pensions under the Pay As you Go system.

## THE DEMOGRAPHIC SITUATION IN MEXICO

## Mexico's crude birth rate* 1960-2013

In Mexico, the reduction in the number of births has influenced the behavior of the crude birth rate

| Year | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate | 45 | 41.7 | 34.9 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 30 |
| Year | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| Rate | 29 | 29 | 29 | 27.9 | 27.5 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 26.3 | 25.9 |
| Year | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Rate | 25.4 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 23.9 | 23.4 | 23 | 22.6 | 22.2 | 21.8 |
| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Rate | 21.5 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 19.7 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 18.9 |
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*The CBR represents the number of live births occurring during a period per 1000 population estimated at midyear.

## Mexico's total fertility rate* 1930 -2010

This has also had an impact on the Total Fertility Rate, as we can see in the next graphic.

*Represents the number of children that would be born per woman if all women lived to the end of their childbearing years and bore children according to the age-specific birth rates on a given period.

## Mexico's crude death rate* 1930-2013

## Mexico's life expectancy*1930-2013

In the other hand, the mortality has also decreased as we can see in the Crude death rate.
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*The CDR represents the number of deaths occurring during a period per 1000 population estimated at midyear.

The changes in mortality levels, specially in the infant mortality have influenced directly the life expectancy of the population.
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*Life expectancy at birth indicates the number of years a newborn infant would live if prevailing patterns on mortality at the time of its birth stayed the same throughout its life

## THE DEMOGRAPHIC TRANSITION

Thus, the combination of an increasing life expectancy and a constant decline in fertility, causes a significant increase in the Average age of the population and a rising proportion of old-age adults.

Remember that the Demographic Transition refers to the transition from high birth and death rates to lower birth and death rates.

On one hand the decline in mortality gives rise to a progressive increase in life expectancy and, consequently, an increasing number of people comes alive to older ages; and on the other hand, the fall in fertility is reflected in the number of births and causes a reduction in the proportion of children and young people in the total population.

Mexico's birth and death rates 1930-2013
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## POPULATION STRUCTURE BY AGE GROUP

Subsequent changes in mortality and fertility caused important changes in the age structure of the Mexican population


Population distribution by age group 930-2013

| YEAR/GROUP | TOTAL | $0-14$ | $15-64$ | $65+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1930 | $16,552,722$ | $6,489,850$ | $9,571,034$ | 488,745 |
| $\%$ | 100 | 39.21 | 57.82 | 2.95 |
| 1970 | $48,225,238$ | $22,286,680$ | $24,147,173$ | $1,791,385$ |
| $\%$ | 100 | 46.21 | 50.07 | 3.71 |
| 2000 | $97,483,412$ | $32,586,973$ | $58,092,327$ | $4,750,311$ |
| $\%$ | 100 | 33.43 | 59.59 | 4.87 |
| 2010 | $112,336,538$ | $32,515,796$ | $71,484,423$ | $6,938,913$ |
| $\%$ | 100 | 28.94 | 63.63 | 6.18 |
| 2020 | $127,091,642$ | $33,094,427$ | $84,173,584$ | $9,823,631$ |
| $\%$ | 100 | 26.04 | 66.23 | 7.73 |
| 2030 | $137,481,336$ | $32,511,789$ | $90,880,315$ | $14,089,232$ |
| $\%$ | 100 | 23.65 | 66.10 | 10.25 |
| 2050 | $150,837,517$ | $31,234,579$ | $95,240,098$ | $24,362,839$ |
| $\%$ | 100 | 20.71 | 63.14 | 16.15 |
| NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS AND GEOGRAPHY |  |  |  |  |

Average age* of Mexico s population 1930-2050

*Is the age that most of the people present in a population.

## POPULATION PIRAMYDS
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# Consequences of the demographic transition: The demographic bonus 

The total dependency ratio

$$
\left(\left({ }_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{0}+\mathrm{N}_{65+}\right) /\left({ }_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{15}\right)\right) * 100
$$

The total dependency ratio can be decomposed into the youth dependency ratio and the old-age dependency ratio.

At the beginning, the decline in fertility causes that the total dependency ratio trends to decrease. At a later stage, the percentage of children is stabilized while the percentage of older adults grows significantly, consequently, the dependency ratio begins to increase

The demographic bonus
The demographic bonus is defined as the phase of demographic transition, during which the populations have a mature demographic structure, i.e., it concentrates a high proportion of population in working ages


THE NATIONAL POPULATION COUNCIL: CONAPO
This situation creates favorable conditions for society because in this period the volume of the working-ages population is higher in relation to the dependent population.

## ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE POPULATION

|  | Economically active population (millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |  |
| Total | 21866 | 26.697 | 38,484 | 49,021 | 59,958 | 67,722 | 73,231 | 76,705 |  |
| $15-24$ | 7,331, | 7,961 | 9,646 | 10,724 | 11,917 | 11,567 | 11,733 | 11,723 |  |
| $25-34$ | 5,755 | 7,606 | 11,533 | 13,298 | 15,112 | 16,264 | 15,707 | 15,993 |  |
| $35-44$ | 3,763 | 5,237 | 8,053 | 11,645 | 13,420 | 14,874 | 15,972 | 15,331 |  |
| $45-59$ | 3,496 | 4,184 | 6,849 | 9,848 | 14,171 | 17,421 | 19,285 | 21,204 |  |
| $60+$ | 1,520 | 1,707 | 2,401 | 3,505 | 5,335 | 7,593 | 10,533 | 12,453 |  |
| http://www.eclac.cl/celade/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

One of the most important consequences of the demographic transformation that Mexico has experienced over the past four decades has been the steady increase in the population of working ages, and especially of the economically active population (EAP ).
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## THE OLD AGE PEOPLE IN MEXICO

Population aged 60 and more


Life expectancy for population aged 60 and more


Due to increased longevity, the weight of people of older ages among the elderly will also increase.

## The elderly and employment

Another major concern generated by this rapid population aging in Mexico is the provision of sufficient monetary resources to attend the necessities of the old-age population.

| EAP of 60 and more |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1980 | $1,520,382$ |
| 1990 | $1,707,825$ |
| 2000 | $2,401,026$ |
| 2010 | $3,505,168$ |
| 2020 | $5,335,946$ |
| 2030 | $7,593,660$ |
| 2040 | $10,533,022$ |
| 2050 | $12,453,178$ |

Fuente: http://www.eclac.cl/celade

The elderly and Social Security

| Affiliation Conditions of population aged 60 and more, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Not Affiliated | Affiliated | Type of Institution |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | IMSS | [SSSTE | Seguro Popular | Another Public Institution | Another Private Institution |
| Total 60 + | 2125814 | 10730111 | 5257215 | 1108920 | 3850077 | 464780 | 49119 |
| MEN 60+ | 1004950 | 4880496 | 2418702 | 487699 | 1727592 | 222097 | 24406 |
| WOMEN 60+ | 1120864 | 5849615 | 2838513 | 621221 | 2122485 | 242683 | 24713 |

## Pensioned Population aged 60 and more, 2013

| AGE | IMSS |  |  |  |  | ISSSTE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | MEN | WOMEN | Total | MEN | WOMEN |  |  |
| $60+$ | 2197182 | 1586422 | 610760 | 429090 | 247249 | 181841 |  |  |

[^2]As mentioned above, over the years, the number of older adults will continue to increase and also the number of pensioners, so, new financing models that cover this future demand for pensions for the growing number of older adults will be needed

## THE DEMOGRAPHIC BONUS AND THE PENSION SYSTEMS IN SOCIAL SECURITY

As it was mentioned before, in this investigation we want to analyze the possible benefits that this phenomenon could have on the pension systems of Social Security

The main characteristic of this demographic phenomenon is the constant increase of the working-age population, so the creation of enough formal employment it's a priority.

## Unemployment rate and informality rate

| YEAR | Unemployment <br> Rate | Informal <br> employment <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 2.68 | 26.91 |
| 2005 | 3.58 | 28.2 |
| 2010 | 5.33 | 28.46 |
| 2011 | 5.23 | 28.45 |
| 2012 | 4.94 | 28.81 |
| 2013 | 4.94 | 28.48 |
| 2014 | 5.04 | 27.87 |
| 2015 | 5.24 | 27.92 |
| 2020 | 6.22 | 28.15 |
| 2030 | 8.18 | 28.63 |
| 2040 | 10.14 | 29.11 |
| 2050 | 12.11 | 29.58 |
| NationaL Institute of Statistics and Geographr |  |  |

Once the rates are projected it is possible to obtain the employed and unemployed population and also the population employed in the formal and informal sector.

| Año | Economically <br> Active <br> Population | Employed <br> population | Informal <br> employment | Formal <br> employment | Unemployment <br> population | IMSS <br> Insured | Another <br> Institution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $49,517,037$ | $47,072,885$ | $13,406,393$ | $33,666,492$ | $2,444,152$ | $16,072,462$ | $17,594,030$ |
| 2014 | $50,429,292$ | $47,886,568$ | $13,345,675$ | $34,540,892$ | $2,542,724$ | $16,393,911$ | $18,146,981$ |
| 2015 | $51,337,196$ | $48,647,942$ | $13,581,002$ | $35,066,940$ | $2,689,254$ | $16,721,789$ | $18,345,151$ |
| 2020 | $55,136,288$ | $51,706,982$ | $14,557,948$ | $37,149,034$ | $3,429,306$ | $18,365,969$ | $18,783,065$ |
| 2030 | $60,008,152$ | $55,098,140$ | $15,774,761$ | $39,323,379$ | $4,910,012$ | $20,242,713$ | $19,080,666$ |
| 2040 | $61,810,576$ | $55,540,020$ | $16,165,416$ | $39,374,604$ | $6,270,556$ | $20,850,729$ | $18,523,875$ |
| 2050 | $61,076,808$ | $53,682,026$ | $15,879,937$ | $37,802,089$ | $7,394,782$ | $20,897,522$ | $16,904,567$ |

# PENSIONED POPULATION OF THE MEXICAN INSTITUTE OF SOCIAL INSURANCE 

On the other hand once we got the contributors of the IMSS we need to know the number of pensioners, the cost of their pensions and the wages of the workers, this will let us calculate the Average pension and the PAYG premium needed to finance the pensions.

Old age pensioners

| Year | Cesanty and <br> old age |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $1,587,150$ |
| 2014 | $1,649,433$ |
| 2015 | $1,712,996$ |
| 2020 | $2,050,009$ |
| 2025 | $2,419,024$ |
| 2030 | $2,820,041$ |
| 2040 | $3,718,079$ |
| 2050 | $4,744,123$ |
|  | IMSS |

Total pensioners

| Año | Total <br> Pensioners |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $2,597,675$ |
| 2014 | $2,677,172$ |
| 2015 | $2,767,214$ |
| 2020 | $3,241,533$ |
| 2025 | $3,756,053$ |
| 2030 | $4,310,803$ |
| 2040 | $5,541,047$ |
| 2050 | $6,932,321$ |
|  | IMSS |

IMSS

Survivor pensioners

| Year | Surviviors <br> Pensions |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 737,796 |
| 2014 | 757,339 |
| 2015 | 777,107 |
| 2020 | 879,317 |
| 2025 | 987,143 |
| 2030 | $1,100,586$ |
| 2040 | $1,344,322$ |
| 2050 | $1,610,525$ |
|  | IMSS. |

Disability pensioners

| Year | Disability <br> pensioners |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 272,729 |
| 2014 | 270,400 |
| 2015 | 277,112 |
| 2020 | 312,207 |
| 2025 | 349,886 |
| 2030 | 390,175 |
| 2040 | 478,646 |
| 2050 | 577,673 |
|  | IMSS |

Cost of the pensions and wages (millions of MXN)

| Year | Pensions at <br> payment | Temporar <br> y pensions | Total | Wages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 145,260 | 1,068 | 146,328 | $1,581,726$ |
| 2014 | 152,363 | 1,279 | 153,642 | $1,639,553$ |
| 2015 | 159,128 | 1,431 | 160,559 | $1,698,959$ |
| 2020 | 199,169 | 1,905 | 201,074 | $2,004,280$ |
| 2025 | 259,485 | 2,494 | 261,979 | $2,281,310$ |
| 2030 | 332,180 | 3,123 | 335,303 | $2,502,112$ |
| 2040 | 482,270 | 4,197 | 486,467 | $2,827,336$ |
| 2050 | 437,779 | 4,545 | 442,324 | $3,080,002$ |
|  |  | IMSS |  |  |

With the projections obtained in the previous sections it is possible to obtain the total pensioners as follows:

## Total Pensioners

= Olg Age pensioners

+ disability pensioners
+ Survivor pensioner


## Scenario 1

Unemployment \& PAYG premium

| Year | New <br> unemployed <br> population | New <br> unemployment <br> rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $1,222,076$ | $2.47 \%$ |
| 2014 | $1,271,362$ | $2.52 \%$ |
| 2015 | $1,344,627$ | $2.62 \%$ |
| 2020 | $1,714,653$ | $3.11 \%$ |
| 2025 | $2,088,481$ | $3.60 \%$ |
| 2030 | $2,455,006$ | $4.09 \%$ |
| 2040 | $3,135,278$ | $5.07 \%$ |
| 2050 | $3,697,391$ | $6.05 \%$ |

We will assume that they will earn the average wage, which was calculated as follows

$$
\text { Average } \text { wage }_{\mathrm{Year} t}=\frac{\text { Salary of the insured }_{\mathrm{Year} t}}{\text { Insured }_{\mathrm{Year} t}}
$$

PAYG Premium (Scenario 1)

| Year | Average <br> Wage | $(Y)=$ Average <br> wage *(New <br> employees) | Wages+ $Y$ | New PAYG <br> premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 0.09841218 | 120,267 | $1,701,993$ | $8.60 \%$ |
| 2014 | 0.10000988 | 127,149 | $1,766,702$ | $8.70 \%$ |
| 2015 | 0.10160151 | 136,616 | $1,835,575$ | $8.75 \%$ |
| 2020 | 0.1091301 | 187,120 | $2,191,400$ | $9.18 \%$ |
| 2025 | 0.11658861 | 243,493 | $2,524,803$ | $10.38 \%$ |
| 2030 | 0.12360557 | 303,452 | $2,805,564$ | $11.95 \%$ |
| 2040 | 0.1355989 | 425,140 | $3,252,476$ | $14.96 \%$ |
| 2050 | 0.14738599 | 544,944 | $3,624,946$ | $12.20 \%$ |

## PAYG Premium (Scenario 2)

In this scenario we supposed we could give to all the unemployed population a formal employment.

| Year | Average <br> Wage | (Y)=Average <br> wage *(New <br> employees) | Wages+ Y | New PAYG <br> premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 0.09841218 | 240,534 | $1,822,260$ | $8.03 \%$ |
| 2014 | 0.10000988 | 254,298 | $1,893,851$ | $8.11 \%$ |
| 2015 | 0.10160151 | 273,232 | $1,972,191$ | $8.14 \%$ |
| 2020 | 0.1091301 | 374,240 | $2,378,520$ | $8.45 \%$ |
| 2025 | 0.11658861 | 486,986 | $2,768,296$ | $9.46 \%$ |
| 2030 | 0.12360557 | 606,905 | $3,109,017$ | $10.78 \%$ |
| 2040 | 0.1355989 | 850,281 | $3,677,617$ | $13.23 \%$ |
| 2050 | 0.14738599 | $1,089,887$ | $4,169,889$ | $10.61 \%$ |

PAYG Premium (Scenario 3)

| Year | Average Wage | ( Y )=Average wage <br> *(New employees) | Wages+ Y | New PAYG premium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 0.09841218 | 1,068,833 | 2,650,559 | 5.52\% |
| 2014 | 0.10000988 | 1,117,905 | 2,757,458 | 5.57\% |
| 2015 | 0.10160151 | 1,163,946 | 2,862,905 | 5.61\% |
| 2020 | 0.1091301 | 1,387,760 | 3,392,040 | 5.93\% |
| 2025 | 0.11658861 | 1,610,473 | 3,891,783 | 6.73\% |
| 2030 | 0.12360557 | 1,822,052 | 4,324,164 | 7.75\% |
| 2040 | 0.1355989 | 2,185,069 | 5,012,405 | 9.71\% |
| 2050 | 0.14738599 | 2,482,762 | 5,562,764 | 7.95\% |

## Scenario 3

We can see that in the last case the PAYG premium remain at high levels. Therefore we must add a percentage of the population employed in the informal sector, following the same procedure we decreased the informality in two thirds

| Year | New Total <br> employees | Unemployed that <br> will have a formal <br> employment | Informal employed <br> that will have a formal <br> employment | New informal <br> employees | New informality <br> rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $10,860,775$ | $2,444,152$ | $8,416,623$ | $4,989,770$ | $10.60 \%$ |
| 2014 | $11,177,947$ | $2,542,724$ | $8,635,223$ | $4,710,452$ | $9.84 \%$ |
| 2015 | $11,455,989$ | $2,689,254$ | $8,766,735$ | $4,814,267$ | $9.90 \%$ |
| 2020 | $12,716,564$ | $3,429,306$ | $9,287,258$ | $5,270,690$ | $10.19 \%$ |
| 2025 | $13,813,292$ | $4,176,961$ | $9,636,331$ | $5,646,958$ | $10.49 \%$ |
| 2030 | $14,740,857$ | $4,910,012$ | $9,830,845$ | $5,943,916$ | $10.79 \%$ |
| 2040 | $16,114,207$ | $6,270,556$ | $9,843,651$ | $6,321,765$ | $11.38 \%$ |
| 2050 | $16,845,304$ | $7,394,782$ | $9,450,522$ | $6,429,415$ | $11.98 \%$ |

## CONCLUSIONS:

It has been said in various readings that the demographic bonus can boost the productive potential of the country and can be used to improve the situation in different areas, one of these the Social Security. It is argued that this phenomenon may be a solution for financing pension systems under the PAYG scheme of the IMSS

Actually the situation of the old-age population, is not the most favorable and coverage offered by social security systems is not enough, so one of the main concerns, will be to cover the growing demand for old-age pensions. In our scenarios we tried to solve the IMSS problem but, as shown, there still other pension systems that were not analyzed yet.

By making this investigation we wanted to validate or discard this theory , creating different scenarios under which this could be achieved, of this analysis it can be concluded that while the economically active population will increase significantly over the next few years, the situation of unemployment and informality that has been occurring in the country, is not adequate ; according to the projections, the unemployed could reach 7.4 million, while the population employed in the informal sector would reach 15.8 million so the most important condition to take advantage of the demographic bonus is the creation of enough formal jobs for the entire unemployed and informal population which will be difficult task considering that the historical data of these rates have not presented a significant decrease to 2015 .

On the other hand, many of the young population has low levels of schooling so it is not only important to implement policies to promote job creation, but also improve education policies that allow not only expand coverage and access to it but also its quality.

Another of the conclusions that can be reached with this work was that this system has a costing problem because in each of the proposed scenarios, the PAYG premium tends to increase as higher the time is, this due to the increase of the population over 65 years, for example, in the first scenario premium distribution passed from $8.60 \%$ in 2013 to $13.94 \%$ in 2050 and finally on scenario 3, the premium increases from $5.52 \%$ to $9.05 \%$. We see that the PAYG premium will continue increasing significantly in the coming years, making the PAYG system not a viable costingmethod for long-term benefits
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