

HYBRID SOCIAL SECURITY PENSION SCHEMES risk sharing and stochastic optimal control

Pierre DEVOLDER- Catholic University of Louvain(UCL)

pierre.devolder@uclouvain.be

Hélène MORSOMME – UCL

(Belgium)





Agenda

- 1. Hybrid Pension Schemes
- 2. PAYG Risk sharing model
- 3. Example 1 : Musgrave rule
- 4. Example 2 : Convex invariant
- 5. Optimal hybridization by stochastic control



1.Hybrid Pension Schemes

- Financial viability of classical Pay As You Go (PAYG) social security pension schemes
- Most of them using a Defined Benefit (DB) structure
- Important risk factors :
 - Ageing
 - Fertility
 - Baby boom effect



Some classical solutions

- Parametric reforms (*retirement age, early retirement , indexation,...*)
- Move from DB schemes to DC schemes (*Notional Accounts*, NDC)
- Introduction of Automatic Balance Mechanisms as an answer to risk exposure (DB and DC) to avoid any form of "Pension Populism"



Sustainability and Adequacy

- ...But Pension reform is not just a matter of financial sustainability
- The mission of the social security is also social adequacy
- Fairness between generations and between categories of workers is a key point



Hybrid pension plans

- Development of Hybrid pension plans between DB and DC as well for public as for occupational pension schemes
- *Sweden* : NDC with automatic adjustment
- Netherlands : conditional indexation, collective DC plans
- **Belgium :** project of reform of the first pillar (points system with Musgrave rule)

2. PAYG Risk Sharing Model

Incomes :

- A(t) = number of contributors at time t
- W(t) = mean wage
- $\pi(t) =$ contribution rate

$$IN(t) = A(t).\pi(t).W(t)$$



Equilibrium equation

Outcomes :



```
P(t) = mean pension
```

 $\delta(t)$ = replacement rate

$$OUT(t) = B(t).P(t) = B(t).\delta(t).W(t)$$



Equilibrium equation



Equilibrium equation



Automatic Adjustment



Automatic Adjustment :

How to maintain automatically this equilibrium in case of change of D(t) (*Ageing = Increase* !)



Automatic Adjustment



Automatic Adjustment



3.Example 1 : the Musgrave rule

Goal:

To keep constant the replacement rate *but net of contributions*





The Musgrave rule



4.Example 2 : Convex invariant

$$\beta.\delta(t) + (1-\beta).\pi(t) = \text{constant}$$

with $0 \le \beta \le 1$ constant

A fixed proportion of the replacement rate and of the contribution rate has to remain constant.

$$\beta = 0 : DB$$

$$\beta = 1 : DC$$



Convex invariant

Musgrave is a particular case of convex invariant

$$M = \frac{\delta(t)}{1 - \pi(t)}$$

Or:

$$\frac{1}{1+M} \cdot \delta(t) + \frac{M}{1+M} \cdot \pi(t) = \frac{M}{1+M}$$
Or:

$$\beta \cdot \delta(t) + (1-\beta) \cdot \pi(t) = \frac{M}{1+M} = \text{constant}$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{1+M} \qquad \pi = 20\%; \ \delta = 50\% \rightarrow \beta = 0.62$$

Convex invariant

Other example : min variance

Difference between the net wage and the replacement rate

$$V(t) = (1 - \pi(t)) - \delta(t) = 1 - (\pi(t) + \delta(t))$$

<u>Goal</u> : *stability of this spread* ! We can try to minimize the variance of V

var V(t) = 0 if
$$\pi(t) + \delta(t) = K$$

 $\beta = 1/2$



Numerical illustration

Mean reverting dependence ratio

$$D(t) = D_{0} \cdot e^{-\gamma t} + \overline{D} \cdot (1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \quad (D_{0} < \overline{D})$$

$$\delta(0) = \delta_{0}$$

$$\pi(0) = \pi_{0} = D_{0} \cdot \delta_{0}$$

$$D_{0} = 40\% \qquad \overline{D} = 66\% \quad \gamma = 5\%$$

$$\delta(0) = 50\% \qquad \alpha = 50\%$$

$$\pi(0) = 20\%$$

$$\delta(t) = ? \qquad \pi(t) = ?$$

Numerical illustration



Numerical illustration



5.Optimal hybridization by stochastic control

• Optimal choice for the risk sharing level between DB and DC

Stochastic optimal control

- State variable + equation
- Control variable
- Optimization criterion



State variable :Geometric Brownian Motion for the Dependence ratio

 $dD(t) = \gamma D(t) dt + \sigma D(t) dw(t)$

With :

w(.) = standard Brownian motion

Solution :

$$D(t) = D_0 . exp((\gamma - \sigma^2 / 2)t + \sigma . w(t))$$



<u>Criterion</u> : joined **stability** of:

- the replacement rate (retirees point of view)
- the contribution rate (active point of view)

Stability around a target value :

for
$$\pi(t) \to \overline{\pi}$$

for $\delta(t) \to \overline{\delta}$



Value function to minimize (cf. Cairns (2000))

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{E}\left(\int_{t}^{T} e^{-r.(s-t)} \left((1-\rho)\left(\frac{\delta(s)}{\overline{\delta}}-1\right)^{2}+\rho\left(\frac{\pi(s)}{\overline{\pi}}-1\right)^{2}\right) ds\right)$$

where $0 \le \rho \le 1$: weight to fix

$$(DC: \rho = 1 / DB: \rho = 0)$$

Basic Equations :

 $dD(t) = \gamma .D(t) dt + \sigma .D(t) dw(t)$ $\pi(t) = D(t) .\delta(t)$



Solution

Optimal replacement rate

$$\delta^{*}(t) = \frac{(1-\rho)\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}} + \rho \frac{D(t)}{\overline{\pi}}}{(1-\rho)\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}^{2}} + \rho \frac{D^{2}(t)}{\overline{\pi}^{2}}}$$

Optimal contribution rate

$$\pi^{*}(t) = \frac{(1-\rho)\frac{D(t)}{\overline{\delta}} + \rho\frac{D^{2}(t)}{\overline{\pi}}}{(1-\rho)\frac{1}{\overline{\delta}^{2}} + \rho\frac{D^{2}(t)}{\overline{\pi}^{2}}}$$

Calibration of the target values

2 natural constraints :

- initial conditions :

 $\delta^*(0) = \delta_0$

- link between the targets:

 $\overline{\pi} = \overline{D}.\,\overline{\delta}$

Solution :

$$\overline{\delta} = \delta_0 \frac{(1-\rho) + \rho \frac{D_0^2}{\overline{D}^2}}{(1-\rho) + \rho \frac{D_0}{\overline{D}}}$$

CONCLUSION

- Different ways to build *hybrid PAYG* pension schemes with automatic adjustment
- Possibility to take into account simultaneously adequacy and sustainability
- Risk sharing between generations; DB and DC are extreme solutions
- Example of the *Musgrave rule* (*Belgium*)

Future research

- Optimal choice for the risk sharing level
- NDC with risk sharing
- Model with conditional indexation



References

Borsch-Supan, A., A.Reil-Held and C.B.Wilke (2003) How to make a defined benefit system sustainable: the sustainability factor in the German Benefit Indexation formula. Discussion paper 37/2003, Mannheim Institute for the Economics of Aging

Commission 2020-2040 (2014) Un contrat social performant et fiable, http://pension2040.belgium.be/fr/

Devolder, P. (2010) Perspectives pour nos régimes de pension légale. *Revue Belge de Sécurité* sociale »,4 ,p.597-614



References

European Commission (2014) The 2015 Ageing Report, European Economy 8/2014

Holzmann, R., E. Palmer and D. Robalino (2012) *Non-financial Defined Contribution Pension schemes in a changing Pension world*, Vol 1., Washington D.C., World Bank

Knell M. (2010) How automatic adjustment factors affect the internal rate of return of PAYG pension systems. *Journal of Pension Economics and Finance*, **9**(1),1-23

Musgrave, R. (1981) A reappraisal of social security finance. *Social Security financing*, Cambridge, MIT, p. 89–127.



References

Cairns A. (2000) : Some notes on the dynamics and optimal control of stochastic pension fund models in continuous time, *Astin Bulletin*, *30(1)*

Vidal-Melia et al. (2009): Automatic balance mechanisms in pay as you go pension systems, *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance Issues and Practice*, 34(2)

Godinez-Olivares H. et al. (2016) : Optimal strategies for a pay as you go finance : A sustainability framework , *Insurance, Mathematics and Economy, 69*

Devolder P. and S.de Valeriola (2017): Pension design and risk sharing: mix solutions Between DB and DC for public pension schemes, *forthcoming in: Public pension system: The greatest economic challenge for the 21st century, Springer*

E.Schokkaert et al. (2017) : Towards an equitable and sustainable points system : A proposal for pension reform in Belgium, *DPS 17.03, KULeuven*





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



PBSS/IACA Colloquium Cancun 2017