



AFIR   **MUNICH**
LIFE 2009



DAV

**DEUTSCHE
AKTUARVEREINIGUNG e.V.**

QUASI-EXACT NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF SYNTHETIC CDO PRICES

**19th International AFIR Colloquium,
Munich, Sept 9-11, 2009**

Werner Hürlimann

FRSGlobal Switzerland

Bederstrasse 1, CH-8027 Zürich

E-mail: werner.huerlimann@frsglobal.com

AGENDA

- **Sub-prime mortgage crisis**
 - **Facts & aftermath** / Wikipedia / New York Times
 - **Lessons** / nature & magnitude of credit risk transfer
- **Pricing model**
 - **synthetic CDO tranche** / fair spread / one-factor copula model / one-factor Gaussian copula model
- **Evaluation**
 - **conditional tranche loss** / pseudo compound Poisson approximation / Panjer recursive algorithm / Examples

SUB-PRIME MORTGAGE CRISIS

- **Facts & aftermath**

- **Wikipedia**

- Merrill Lynch's 2008 large losses partly due to drop in value of **un-hedged portfolio of CDO's** after AIG ceased offering CDS's on Merrill's CDO's

- **New York Times (May 14, 2009)**

- “New Regulations Sought on Derivatives”: **swaps and other derivatives should be** traded on exchanges and **backed by capital reserves** (T.F. Geithner from Obama administration)

SUB-PRIME MORTGAGE CRISIS

- **Lessons learnt from the crisis**
 - **Nature of credit risk transfer**
 - Risk characteristics of CDO's (Gibson(2004))
 - **Magnitude of credit risk transfer**
 - Loss distributions of synthetic CDO's
 - Evaluation methods: analytical, semi-analytical, Monte-Carlo simulation, exact calculation
 - New quasi-exact numerical method: recursive algorithm for **pseudo compound Poisson approximation** (Hipp, Hürlimann, Michel)

Pricing model / synthetic CDO tranche

- synthetic **collateralized debt obligation** (CDO) transfers the credit risk on a portfolio of **credit default swaps** (CDS).
- **synthetic CDO** pool made up of m **names** with **notional values** $N(k)$ and **recovery rate** $R(k)$, $k=1, \dots, m$. The **loss-given-default** of name k is $LGD(k) = N(k) \cdot \{1 - R(k)\}$.
- **premium dates**: $0 = t(0) < t(1) < \dots < t(n) = T$ (= **maturity date**)
- **discount factors**: $D(0), D(1), \dots, D(n)$
- synthetic CDO tranche of **size** S and **attachment point** ℓ has **payoff function** at time $t(i)$: $L(i) = \min\{\max(L_p(i) - \ell, 0), S\}$, with $L_p(i)$ the **pool's cumulative losses** up to time $t(i)$ (similar to **limited stop-loss reinsurance** in actuarial science)

Pricing model / fair spread

- Let s be the *fair spread* of CDO tranche per annum
- *default leg* (=losses to maturity): $DL = \sum D(i) \cdot \{L(i) - L(i-1)\}$
- *contingent* (=PV of default leg): $PV(DL) = E[DL]$
- *premium leg* (=premiums to maturity)
 $PL = s \cdot \sum D(i) \cdot \Delta(i) \cdot \{S - L(i)\}, \Delta(i) = t(i) - t(i-1)$
- *fee* (=PV of premium leg): $PV(PL) = E[PL]$
- *market-to-market value* CDO tranche: $MV = \text{fee} - \text{contingent}$
- **Pricing equation fair spread** is $MV = 0$ or $E[PL - DL] = 0$
- **Valuation problem** reduced to computation of $E[L(i)] \Leftrightarrow$ specification of *default process* for each name & *correlation structure of default events*

Pricing model / one-factor model

- $T(k)$: *random default time* of name k
- $q(k,i)=P(T(k)<t(i))$: *risk-neutral default probabilities*
- dependence structure of default times determined by *creditworthiness indices* $Y(k) = \sqrt{\rho(k)} \cdot X + \sqrt{1-\rho(k)} \cdot Z(k)$, with *systematic risk factor* X , mutually independent *idiosyncratic factors* $Z(k)$, all independent of X , and *correlation factors* $\rho(k)$, through **one-factor copula model**:
 $q(k,i) = P(Y(k) < H(k,t(i)))$ with
 $H(k,t(i))$: *default threshold* of name k at time $t(i)$
- references: Vasicek(1987) (loss distribution of pool of loans)
 Li(2000), Gordy & Jones(2003), Hull & White(2004), etc.

Pricing model / one-factor Gaussian

- Assume *standard normal* distributions for X and $Z(k)$:
 - (i) $H(k,t(i)) = \Phi^{-1}(q(k,i))$
 - (ii) $\text{Cov}[Y(k), Y(j)] = \sqrt{\rho(k)\rho(j)}$
 - (iii) $q(k,i) = P(Y(k) < H(k,t(i)) \mid X=x)$ (*conditional default probabilities*)
 $= \Phi\{[\Phi^{-1}(q(k,i)) - x\sqrt{\rho(k)}]/\sqrt{1-\rho(k)}\}$
- **Model extensions:**
 - X random vector \Rightarrow multi-factor copula model
 - $X, Z(k)$ Student-t \Rightarrow double-t copula model of Hull & White
 - $X, Z(k)$ NIG \Rightarrow Kalemánova et al.(2005) & Lüscher(2005)
 - Further generalizations: Burtschell et al.(2005), Bee(2007) and Albrecher et al.(2007).

Evaluation / conditional tranche loss

- In one-factor Gaussian model one has

$$E[L(i)] = \int E[L(i) | X=x] \cdot d\Phi(x) \text{ with}$$

$$E[L(i) | X=x] = E[L(i) = \min\{\max(L_p(i) - \ell, 0), S\} | X=x] \text{ and}$$

$$L_p(i) = \sum LGD(k) \cdot I\{ Y(k) < \Phi^{-1}(q(k,i)) \},$$

where the random indicators $I\{ \cdot \}$ are mutually independent conditional on X

=> reduction to computation of conditional expected cumulative tranche losses $E[L(i) | X=x], i=1, \dots, n$
- Random sum $L_p(i)$ similar to “individual model of risk theory” in actuarial science studied by Kornyia(1983), Hipp(1986), De Pril(1986/89), Dhaene and De Pril(1994), Hürlimann(1989/90/2004), Sundt and Vernic(2009), etc.

Evaluation / pseudo comp. Poisson appr.

- conditional on $X=x$ the characteristic function of $L_p(i)$ is $\varphi(t) = \prod \varphi(k,t)$, $\varphi(k,t) = \exp\{ \ln[1+c(k) \cdot (e^{it \cdot \text{LGD}(k)} - 1)] \}$
- **J-th order appr. $\varphi(J,t)$:** truncate logarithmic expansion $\ln(1+x) = \sum (-1)^{j+1} \cdot x^j/j$ at J-th term to get **finite sums**
 $\ln \varphi(J,t) = \sum \sum (-1)^{j+1} \cdot [c(k) \cdot (e^{it \cdot \text{LGD}(k)} - 1)]^j/j$
- **J=1: compound Poisson approximation $(\lambda(1), h(1,y))$**
 $\ln \varphi(1,t) = \lambda(1) \cdot [\psi(1,t) - 1]$, $\psi(1,t) = 1/\lambda(1) \cdot \sum c(k) \cdot e^{it \cdot \text{LGD}(k)}$
 $\lambda(1) = \sum c(k)$, $h(1,y) = 1/\lambda(1) \cdot \sum c(k) \cdot I\{\text{LGD}(k)=y\}$, $y=1,2,\dots$
- **J>1: pseudo compound Poisson approximation**
 $\ln \varphi(J,t) = \lambda(J) \cdot [\psi(J,t) - 1]$, **Poisson parameter $\lambda(J)$** and **pseudo severity distribution $h(J,y)$** determined as follows:

Evaluation / pseudo comp. Poisson appr.

pseudo compound Poisson approximations of low order:

J=2: $\lambda(2) = \sum c(k) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot c(k))$

$$h(2,y) = 1/\lambda(2) \cdot [\sum c(k)(1+c(k)) \cdot I\{LGD(k)=y\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum c(k)^2 \cdot I\{2 \cdot LGD(k)=y\}], \quad y=1,2,\dots$$

J=3: $\lambda(3) = \sum c(k) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot c(k) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot c(k)^2)$

$$h(3,y) = 1/\lambda(3) \cdot [\sum c(k)(1+c(k)+c(k)^2) \cdot I\{LGD(k)=y\} - \sum c(k)^2(\frac{1}{2}+c(k)) \cdot I\{2 \cdot LGD(k)=y\} + \frac{1}{3} \sum c(k)^3 \cdot I\{3 \cdot LGD(k)=y\}],$$

J=4: $\lambda(4) = \sum c(k) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot c(k) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot c(k)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot c(k)^3)$

$$h(4,y) = 1/\lambda(4) \cdot [\sum c(k)(1+c(k)+c(k)^2+c(k)^3) \cdot I\{LGD(k)=y\} - \sum c(k)^2(\frac{1}{2}+c(k)+\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3 \cdot c(k)^2) \cdot I\{2 \cdot LGD(k)=y\} + \sum c(k)^3(\frac{1}{3}+c(k)) \cdot I\{3 \cdot LGD(k)=y\} - \frac{1}{4} \sum c(k)^4 \cdot I\{4 \cdot LGD(k)=y\}],$$

Evaluation / pseudo comp. Poisson appr.

- some mathematical properties:
- $h(J,y)$ for $J > 1$ is not a true probability measure but **only a signed measure**
- **conditions for** a pseudo compound Poisson distribution $(\lambda, h(y))$ to be **a true probability distribution** identified by Lévy(1937) (see Lukacs(1970), Johnson et al.(1992)):
 - a negative value $h(y) < 0$ is preceded by a positive value and followed by at least two positive values
 - CDO examples: criterion is fulfilled for $J=3$ but not for $J=2,4$
- **solution to moment problem:** J -th order approximation preserves first J moments (Dhaene et al.(1996))

Evaluation / Panjer recursive algorithm

- probability function $f(z)$, $z=0,1,2,\dots$ of a pseudo compound Poisson distribution $(\lambda, h(y))$ satisfies **Panjer recursion formula** (Hürlimann(1990)):

$$f(0) = \exp(-\lambda), \quad z \cdot f(z) = \sum y \cdot h(y) \cdot f(z-y), \quad z=1,2,\dots$$

- numerical **stable algorithm** (Panjer & Wang(1993))
- **convergence** of J-th order approximation in distribution obtained from the **error bound** (Hipp & Michel(1990)):

$$|F(z) - F^{(J)}(z)| \leq \exp(\varepsilon) - 1, \quad \varepsilon = \sum \varepsilon(k), \quad \text{with}$$

$$\varepsilon(k) = [1/(J-1)] \cdot [2c(k)]^{(J-1)} / [1-2c(k)], \quad c(k) < 1/2$$

Evaluation / Numerical examples

- Example 1: completely homogenous pool**

$m=100$ names, $t(i)=i=1,2,3,4,5$ dates, $LGD(k)=1$ all k , risk-neutral default probabilities $q(k,i)=q(i)=1-\exp(-0.01 \cdot i)$, correlation factors $\rho(k)=\rho=0.3$, flat interest rates of 5%

The cumulative losses $L_p(i)$ are binomially distributed and converge to the Vasicek limiting distribution as $m \rightarrow \infty$

- Table 1: par spreads** for completely homogeneous pool

	par spread for different distributions					
CDO tranches	J=1	J=2	J=3	J=4	exact	Vasicek
equity	21.794%	21.875%	21.876%	21.876%	21.876%	26.095%
mezzanine	6.004%	6.024%	6.024%	6.024%	6.024%	6.488%
senior	0.271%	0.269%	0.269%	0.269%	0.269%	0.201%

Evaluation / Numerical examples

- Example 2: inhomogenous pool**

5 sub-pools with 20 names each with $LGD(k)=k=1,2,3,4,5$, $t(i)=i=1,2,3,4,5$ dates, $q(k,i)=1-\exp\{-(0.005+0.005\cdot k)\cdot i\}$, correlation factors $\rho(k)=0.25+0.05\cdot k$, flat interest rates of 5%

- Table 2: par spreads for inhomogeneous pool**

	par spread for different distributions			
CDO tranches	J=1	J=2	J=3	J=4
equity	25.954%	26.087%	26.091%	26.091%
mezzanine	11.002%	11.078%	11.080%	11.080%
senior	3.002%	3.060%	3.076%	3.082%

- Conclusions:**

J=3,4 => quasi-exact spreads for synthetic CDO tranches

J=2 => almost accurate spreads

J=1 => compound Poisson approximation not reliable