

A World of Mortality Issues and Insights Seminar May 23, 2012

Session 2 – Update on Underwriting Criteria Scoring (USC) Algorithm

Presenter

Dieter S. Gaubatz, FSA, FCIA, MAAA (IAA MWG)















Inputs age splits							Mu	nich RE 🗐	in.
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									"
UCS 1.0/	2.0								
<u>BP</u> recogr between a	nizes diffe ages 45 ai	rence nd 65	But	what about etc.	<u>build,</u>				
Blood Pr I. Sys Dia Tre II. Sys Dia Tre	tolic Limit - Age 45 stolic Limit - Age 45 ated Allowed (Y/N) stolic Limit - Age 65 stolic Limit - Age 65 ated Allowed (Y/N)	<u>xcl)</u>	Build (KO, DC, Exc)) Enter A or B, A. Male Weight Limit at 56" in lbs Male Weight Limit at 510" in lbs Male Weight Limit at 52" in lbs Female Weight Limit at 52" in lbs Female Weight Limit at 510" in lbs Female Weight Limit (###)						
UCS 3.0 (Ages 18 Build - BMI fo	Build <u>)</u> - 32 r Males for Alt		Ages 33 Build - BMI f	5 - 59 or Males for Alt		Ages 60 - Build - BMI fo	90 r Males for Alt		
1			1			1			
Risk Class	DC Points	iviaximum 35.9	Risk Class	DC Points	35.9	Rick Class	DC Points	Maximum	
Std	Deroints	21.9	Pref	DeFolits	22.0	Pref	DCFOIL	25.0	
Super Pref		29.9	Super pref		31.0	Super pref		35.0	
Pref		32.9	Std		35.9	Std		35.9	
Std		35.9							
					Title	of presentation and nam	ne of speaker	11/06/2012	8







	535010				
BP Crite	eria (prograr	n spe	ecific exa	mple)	Final BP UCS factor
	(1 0			. ,	Preferred 33.3
- 2 risk	classes				Std/residual 127.0
- BP rec	uirement fo	r pref	erred		
	Age	SBP	/DBP		Preferred
	45	14	0/80		Age Factor Wgt
	65	14	0/80		45 44.3 50%
					65 22.3 50%
Age 45	calculation				Age 65 calculation
1190 10	ouroundation	Value	e Wat		Value Wat
0					Preferred
Preferred	k				
Preferred Score	<u>1</u> SBP	140	1	67	Score SBP 140 1 67
Preferred Score	SBP DBP	140 80	1 2	67 33	Score SBP 140 1 67 DBP 80 2 0
Preferred Score	1 SBP DBP Combined	140 80	1 2 3	67 33 44.3	Score SBP 140 1 67 DBP 80 2 0 Combined 3 22.
Preferred Score	d SBP DBP Combined	140 80	1 2 3	67 33 44.3	Score SBP 140 1 67 DBP 80 2 0 Combined 3 22.
Preferred Score Standard Score	<u>J</u> SBP DBP Combined <u>I/Residual</u> SBP	140 80	1 2 3	67 33 44.3 127	Score SBP 140 1 67 DBP 80 2 0 Combined 3 22. Standard/Residual Score SBP 152 1 127
Preferred Score Standard Score	<u>d</u> SBP DBP Combined <u>I/Residual</u> SBP DBP	140 80 152 92	1 2 3 1 2	67 33 44.3 127 127	Score SBP 140 1 67 DBP 80 2 0 Combined 3 22. Standard/Residual Score SBP 152 1 127 DBP 92 2 127

Calculation											Muni	ich RE	F
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,
UC	CS 1.0/2.0		Overall Ta	ble				Ind	ividual Cri	iteria			
2008	2008 VBT RR table			ulative	Alcohol / Drug Abuse	Blood Pressure	Build	Chol	Family History	Motor Vehicle Report	Personal Medical	Tobacco Definition	Misc
		UCS	RRR	Proportion	UCS	UCS	UCS	UCS	UCS	UCS	UCS	UCS	UCS
	Risk Class Wgt 2	-1 0	0.0% 0.0%	0.000%	17.1 17.9	-12.4 -11.5	-7.4 -6.2	-4.6 -3.3	-11.6 -10.7	-9.5 -8.4	17.1 17.9	19.0 19.9	17.1 17.9
UNDERWRITING CRITERIA SCORE	19 33.3 148	1	52.1% 52.3%	0.164%	18.7 19.4	-10.5 -9.6	-5.1 -3.9	-2.0 -0.7	-9.7 -8.7	-7.3 -6.2	18.7 19.4	20.8 21.6	18.7 19.4
Alcohol & Drug Abuse	1 33.3 133	3	52.5%	0.512%	20.2	-8.7	-2.7	0.6	-7.8	-5.2	20.2	22.5	20.2
Plead Pressure	33.3 127	4	52.7%	0.697%	21.0	-7.7	-1.6	1.9	-6.8	-4.1	21.0	23.4	21.0
Biood Pressure	2 24 2 4 66	5	52.9% 53.2%	0.889%	21.8	-6.8	-0.4	3.2	-5.8 -4.8	-3.0	21.8	24.2	21.8
Build	2 30.3 1100	7	53.4%	1.296%	23.3	-4.9	1.9	5.8	-3.9	-0.9	23.3	26.0	23.3
Cholesterol	3 33.3 100	8	53.6%	1.511%	24.1	-4.0	3.1	105	2 Aºa	daiti	off.1	26.8	24.1
Family History	3 33.3 133	9	53.9%	1.735%	24.9	-3.1	4.2		z.y .9a	uqu	24.9	27.7	24.9
Motor Vehicle Report	2 33 3 150	10	54.1%	1.967%	25.7	-2.1	5.4	For	debit	-crec	1185.7	28.6	25.7
Personal History	2 33 3 153	11	54.4% 54.6%	2.209%	26.4	-1.2	6.6	10.9	0.0	3.4	26.4	29.4	26.4
Tobacco Definition	2 33.3 148	13	54.9%	2.720%	28.0	0.7	8.9	13.5	1.9	5.5	28.0	31.2	28.0
Miscellaneous	1 33.3 133	14	55.2%	2.990%	28.8	1.6	10.1	14.8	2.9	6.6	28.8	32.0	28.8
	Adi	15	55.5%	3.271%	29.6	2.6	11.2	16.1	3.9	7.7	29.6	32.9	29.6
		16	55.7%	3.562%	30.3	3.5	12.4	17.4	4.9	8.7	30.3	33.8	30.3
	V	138	98.2% 98.4%	98.091%	125.2	117.0	154.4	175.1	123.3	139.3	125.2	139.3	125.2
		140	98.5%	98.979%	126.8	119.5	156.7	177.7	125.2	141.4	126.8	141.1	126.8
PD	component	141	98.7%	99.117%	127.6	120.5	157.9	179.0	126.2	142.5	127.6	141.9	127.6
DF	component	142	98.9%	99.252%	128.3	121.4	159.0	180.2	127.2	143.6	128.3	142.8	128.3
		143	99.1%	99.384%	129.1	122.3	160.2	181.5	128.1	144.6	129.1	143.7	129.1
				99.513%	129.9	123.3	162.5	182.8	129.1	145.7	129.9	144.5 145.4	129.9
					131.4	125.1	163.7	185.4	131.1	147.9	131.4	146.3	131.4
		147	99.8%	99.882%	132.2	126.1	164.8	186.7	132.0	148.9	132.2	147.1	132.2
		148	100.0%	100.000%	133.0	127.0	166.0	188.0	133.0	150.0	133.0	148.0	133.0
					Ĺ	Tit	e of pres	entation a	nd name (of speake		11/06/2012	- 13

/2.0				Munich RE	
Cumulative <u>Risk class</u> Preferred Std	<u>UCS</u> 33.3 148	<u>RR</u> 61.5% 100%	<u>Prev</u> 10.72% 100%		
Risk class values	(range)				
Risk class Preferred Std	<u>UCS</u> 33.3 148	<u>RR</u> 61.5% 104.6%	<u>Prev</u> 10.72% 89.28%		
				-	
	/2.0 Cumulative <u>Risk class</u> Preferred Std <u>Risk class values</u> <u>Risk class</u> Preferred Std	/2.0 Cumulative <u>Risk class</u> UCS Preferred 33.3 Std 148 Risk class values (range) <u>Risk class</u> UCS Preferred 33.3 Std 148	/2.0 Cumulative <u>Risk class</u> <u>UCS</u> <u>RR</u> Preferred 33.3 61.5% Std 148 100% Risk class values (range) <u>Risk class</u> <u>UCS</u> <u>RR</u> Preferred 33.3 61.5% Std 148 104.6%	Cumulative RR Prev Risk class UCS RR Prev Preferred 33.3 61.5% 10.72% Std 148 100% 100% Risk class values (range) Risk class UCS RR Prev Preferred 33.3 61.5% 10.72% Std 148 104.6% 89.28%	/2.0 Cumulative <u>Risk class UCS RR Prev</u> Preferred 33.3 61.5% 10.72% Std 148 100% 100% <u>Risk class values (range)</u> <u>Risk class UCS RR Prev</u> Preferred 33.3 61.5% 10.72% Std 148 104.6% 89.28%



















But there's more Munich RE	
 Not a pricing tool Not intended to be a pricing tool No assumption granularity by gender, smoking status, issue age More granular assumptions would have greater "correlations" among criteria Excel only tool ".xls" version (as opposed to ".xlsx") No macros SOA requirements Committee still has a few open issues Agreeing upon assumptions Reflect TB definition Company specific definition of "standard" Input/output structures The standard disclaimer UCS 3.0 is a work in progress Nothing has been approved by anyone 	
Title of presentation and name of speaker 11/06/2012 24	



Thanks to: Underwriting Criteria Team members	Munich RE	
Jaron Arboleda (MIB representative) Jean-Marc Fix (actuary) Dieter Gaubatz – chair (actuary) Kim Genik (underwriter) Anna Hart (underwriter) Carl Holowaty (MD) Doug Ingle (underwriter) Al Klein (actuary) Peter Komsthoeft (underwriter) Ev Kunzelman (underwriter) Kevin Larsen (actuary) Cynthia MacDonald (SOA actuary) Matt McLaughlin (actuary) Jack Luff (SOA actuary) Brad Roudebush (actuary) David Winsemius (MD) David Wylde (actuary)		
	Title of presentation and name of speaker 11/06/2012	26