






1. Name

	Response Count
	54
answered question	54
skipped question	6


2. Did you attend the IAA Council and Committee meetings in Singapore?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		69.0%	40
No		31.0%	18
	answered question		58
	skipped question		2





3. I did not attend due to:

		Response Percent	Response Count
Work commitments		31.6%	6
Personal reasons		0.0%	0
No travel funding from my employer/association		57.9%	11
Other		10.5%	2
	answered question		19
	skipped question		41



4. Do you plan on attending future IAA meetings?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		100.0%	2
No		0.0%	0
	If no, please explain		0
	answered question		2
	skipped question		58








5. When was the last time you attended IAA meetings?

		Response Percent	Response Count
The Hague - May 2013		76.3%	29
Nassau - November 2012		7.9%	3
Los Angeles - May 2012		7.9%	3
Prior to 2012		7.9%	3
	If prior, please specify		3
	answered question		38
	skipped question		22

6. Was this your first time attending IAA meetings?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		5.0%	2
No		95.0%	38
answered question			40
skipped question			20

7. My PRIMARY role in attending the meetings was:

		Response Percent	Response Count
Council Delegate		10.3%	4
Association President		7.7%	3
Chairperson of a Committee/Subcommittee/Task Force/Working Group		12.8%	5
Vice-Chairperson of a Committee/Subcommittee/Task Force/Working Group		15.4%	6
Member of a Committee/Subcommittee/Task Force/Working Group		41.0%	16
Section Committee Representative		2.6%	1
Observer		10.3%	4
Invited Guest		0.0%	0
answered question			39
skipped question			21

8. Meeting Evaluation

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Does not apply	Rating Average	Rating Count
As a committee member, the meeting was productive and my time was well spent	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	43.2% (16)	45.9% (17)	10.8% (4)	3.68	37
As an observer, the content was informative and my time was well spent	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	35.1% (13)	13.5% (5)	51.4% (19)	4.16	37
I did not have any scheduling conflicts with other meetings	10.8% (4)	32.4% (12)	27.0% (10)	27.0% (10)	2.7% (1)	2.78	37
The time allocated to the meetings I attended was sufficient	0.0% (0)	5.4% (2)	56.8% (21)	37.8% (14)	0.0% (0)	3.32	37
The handouts at the hospitality desk were sufficient	2.7% (1)	2.7% (1)	54.1% (20)	32.4% (12)	8.1% (3)	3.41	37
I regularly checked the message board at the hospitality desk	10.8% (4)	32.4% (12)	40.5% (15)	8.1% (3)	8.1% (3)	2.70	37
Overall, the Secretariat staff responded to my needs before, during and after the meetings	0.0% (0)	5.4% (2)	35.1% (13)	51.4% (19)	8.1% (3)	3.62	37
I took note of the final "Delegate Information" email sent by the IAA Secretariat prior to the meetings	0.0% (0)	5.4% (2)	40.5% (15)	45.9% (17)	8.1% (3)	3.57	37
Overall, the meetings in Singapore met my expectations	0.0% (0)	2.7% (1)	56.8% (21)	37.8% (14)	2.7% (1)	3.41	37
answered question							37
skipped question							23

9. Additional comments or concerns

	Response Count
	8
answered question	8
skipped question	52

10. Venue Evaluation

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Does not apply	Rating Average	Rating Count
The venue was appropriate for the event	0.0% (0)	8.1% (3)	40.5% (15)	48.6% (18)	2.7% (1)	3.46	37
Finding my way between meeting rooms was easy	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	54.1% (20)	43.2% (16)	2.7% (1)	3.49	37
The hospitality desk was in a convenient location	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	59.5% (22)	37.8% (14)	2.7% (1)	3.43	37
The signage used at the meetings was helpful	0.0% (0)	8.1% (3)	45.9% (17)	40.5% (15)	5.4% (2)	3.43	37
The negotiated bedroom rate was reasonable	0.0% (0)	16.2% (6)	56.8% (21)	18.9% (7)	8.1% (3)	3.19	37
The distance between the venue and the airport was reasonable	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	45.9% (17)	48.6% (18)	5.4% (2)	3.59	37
					answered question		37
					skipped question		23

11. Additional comments or concerns

	Response Count
	7
answered question	7
skipped question	53

12. Lunch / Breaks Evaluation

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Does not apply	Rating Average	Rating Count
The time allocated for lunch was appropriate	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	70.3% (26)	24.3% (9)	5.4% (2)	3.35	37
The time allocated for breaks was appropriate	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	62.2% (23)	32.4% (12)	5.4% (2)	3.43	37
The food selection was sufficient to meet my dietary needs	2.7% (1)	10.8% (4)	54.1% (20)	24.3% (9)	8.1% (3)	3.24	37
The food quality met my expectations	5.4% (2)	10.8% (4)	48.6% (18)	29.7% (11)	5.4% (2)	3.19	37
The lunches provided a good networking opportunity	0.0% (0)	2.7% (1)	59.5% (22)	32.4% (12)	5.4% (2)	3.41	37
The coffee breaks provided a good networking opportunity	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	54.1% (20)	43.2% (16)	2.7% (1)	3.49	37
						answered question	37
						skipped question	23

13. Additional comments or concerns

	Response Count
	5
answered question	5
skipped question	55



14. Dinner / Tours Evaluation

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Does not apply	Rating Average	Rating Count
The dinner venue was appropriate	2.7% (1)	16.2% (6)	51.4% (19)	18.9% (7)	10.8% (4)	3.19	37
The dinner location was within a reasonable distance from the meeting venue	2.7% (1)	5.4% (2)	62.2% (23)	21.6% (8)	8.1% (3)	3.27	37
The dinner offered value for money for my accompanying person	0.0% (0)	13.5% (5)	8.1% (3)	5.4% (2)	73.0% (27)	4.38	37
I was disappointed that there were no group tours organized	16.2% (6)	29.7% (11)	24.3% (9)	2.7% (1)	27.0% (10)	2.95	37
						answered question	37
						skipped question	23

15. Additional comments or concerns

	Response Count
	6
answered question	6
skipped question	54



16. Are you a Committee, Subcommittee, Task Force, Working Group or Section Chairperson

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		24.3%	9
No		75.7%	28
answered question			37
skipped question			23







17. Please answer the following questions only if your Committee/Subcommittee/Task Force/Working Group/Section had a meeting in Singapore

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Does not apply	Rating Average	Rating Count
The specification sheets were useful	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	55.6% (5)	33.3% (3)	11.1% (1)	3.56	9
The Statutes and Internal Regulations (orange booklets) provided during the meetings were useful	0.0% (0)	22.2% (2)	44.4% (4)	11.1% (1)	22.2% (2)	3.33	9
The number of observer seats should have been limited	33.3% (3)	44.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	22.2% (2)	2.33	9
The meeting set-up (U-shape) was suitable	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	55.6% (5)	44.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	3.44	9
answered question							9
skipped question							51

18. I requested conference call facilities for my meeting

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		44.4%	4
No		55.6%	5
answered question			9
skipped question			51

19. If yes, please check all that apply:

		Response Percent	Response Count
Initiating the conference call did not cause any delay in starting the meeting		50.0%	2
Those who requested it phoned in		75.0%	3
The conference call participants added value to the meeting		75.0%	3
I encourage the use of such conference calls in future		75.0%	3
The quality of the call met my expectations		75.0%	3
We disconnected the call as no one phoned in		0.0%	0
The call was suddenly disconnected		25.0%	1
answered question			4
skipped question			56

20. Additional comments or concerns

	Response Count
	1
answered question	1
skipped question	59

21. Additional comments or concerns not previously mentioned in this survey Thank you for your participation!

	Response Count
	15
answered question	15
skipped question	45

Page 5, Q1. When was the last time you attended IAA meetings?

1	I ticked already Singapore and this page has not that option	Nov 28, 2013 6:49 AM
2	Stockholm	Nov 27, 2013 10:49 PM
3	Dublin - October 2007	Nov 27, 2013 5:18 PM

Page 8, Q2. Additional comments or concerns

1	documentation was send too late	Jan 21, 2014 3:25 PM
2	The rooms were too cold	Nov 29, 2013 6:31 PM
3	The meetings of Ins. Acc. Committee (the Committee, Education and Practice and Joint meetings) could have been more condensed. Especially, this was the case in Den Hague.	Nov 28, 2013 6:55 AM
4	I am very unhappy that the first ASC meeting was scheduled before the advertised start of the meetings, and therefoe I mised it as flights had been booked. I also had a timetable clash, but the meeeting of Insurance Regulation Committee was unproductive as the information needed for a sensible debate was muddled and confused.	Nov 28, 2013 2:40 AM
5	Pres Forum shoudl be scheduled to conflict with as few committee meetings as possibel	Nov 28, 2013 1:56 AM
6	Staff are very helpful	Nov 27, 2013 8:07 PM
7	For this set of meetings probably not enough time for insurance accounting because of proximity of response to the IFRS 4 exposure draft but normally sufficient time	Nov 27, 2013 4:29 PM
8	The cost of being accompanying persons to social events has got very high and is often poor value for money.	Nov 27, 2013 1:20 PM

Page 9, Q2. Additional comments or concerns

1	the hotel bill was not in accordance with what was agreed.	Jan 21, 2014 3:28 PM
2	The hotel was a very luxurious one, expensive from the viewpoint of my association	Jan 21, 2014 6:07 AM
3	Note: The negotiated rate at the hotel was reasonable for Singapore but Singapore of itself has become quite expensive.	Jan 20, 2014 3:46 PM
4	I believe that the IAA meetings should be held in destinations that are easier to get to and less costly for most people	Dec 5, 2013 10:19 PM
5	The only comment on the meeting rooms was that some of there were really chilly.	Nov 28, 2013 5:22 AM
6	The hotel was rather expensive, but a friend visiting Singapore month later paid a much higher rate	Nov 28, 2013 3:10 AM
7	no	Nov 27, 2013 8:08 PM

Page 10, Q2. Additional comments or concerns

1	It is very good that all the meetings are simultaneous, this allows to change meetings and meet people in the breaks	Jan 21, 2014 6:07 AM
2	Better to have seating at the lunches - it was very awkward standing up, and did not encourage people to linger and chat.	Nov 29, 2013 6:32 PM
3	I found little indication of where coconut featured (and it did!). Unfortunately cocnut makes me ill.	Nov 28, 2013 2:41 AM
4	Since I am a vegetarian, it is difficult for every one. I am used to this. Please do not take my comment seriously	Nov 27, 2013 8:09 PM
5	Lunch should be seated	Nov 27, 2013 1:29 PM

Page 11, Q2. Additional comments or concerns

1	The venue was a restaurant; nothing particularly unique about it.	Jan 20, 2014 3:47 PM
2	Should not have had only walking to the dinner as an option due to weather and some people who don't walk well. 100% should have been inside.	Dec 1, 2013 3:33 PM
3	The dinner price for accompanying persons was far too high, as it usually is for IAA dinners - it is quite wrong to charge for accompanying persons to support the main dinner budget - those of us who bring our partners should not subsidise the rest.	Nov 28, 2013 2:43 AM
4	I did not think dinner was very good	Nov 27, 2013 4:30 PM
5	Dinner was bad	Nov 27, 2013 1:30 PM
6	I didn't attend the dinner because I think that the cost of bringing my accompanying person to the social events has got very high and is often poor value for money.	Nov 27, 2013 1:22 PM

Page 14, Q2. Additional comments or concerns

1	The secretariat was unable to get a conference phone installed. This was very disappointing.	Nov 29, 2013 11:42 PM
---	--	-----------------------

**Page 15, Q1. Additional comments or concerns not previously mentioned in this survey
Thank you for your participation!**

1	A direct contact at the venue to arrange meeting room bookings/bar and hotel amenity information would be useful. Late agenda or timing changes should be avoided, particularly in the week before meetings due to travel arrangements.	Dec 18, 2013 4:10 AM
2	I resigned my position	Nov 28, 2013 8:37 PM
3	As always the IAA secretariat organised the meetings well. Thanks.	Nov 28, 2013 5:24 AM
4	It is good to have the statutes available, but I suggest mailing them to the participants, we do not need them on physical paper	Nov 28, 2013 3:13 AM
5	lack of financial resources	Nov 28, 2013 12:48 AM
6	would personally prefer meetings in September rather than October	Nov 27, 2013 10:29 PM
7	nil	Nov 27, 2013 8:10 PM
8	I was previously on a committee and would happily serve again if we can truly make a difference by providing unbiased recommendations. I also believe we need a diversity of opinions and an effort to obtain more involvement from actuaries from developing economies, with interpreters as needed.	Nov 27, 2013 6:55 PM
9	Normally it's no possible for me to dedicate 3-4 days of job (meeting +/- 24 h for going +/- 24 h. for coming home+stops in other countries) to attend the Committee (2 hours). My employer doesn't like the idea. Sometimes I have to choose the meeting. Video Conference is useful, except if the time at home/job is a problem (For example, 3:00 A.M. local time)	Nov 27, 2013 2:29 PM
10	I am looking forward to attending the meetings in Washington DC	Nov 27, 2013 2:25 PM
11	Our association (Polish Society of Actuaries) is too poor to finance travels overseas. We (I am the Vice President and Alternate Delegate) attend only the european meetings. Personnaly I have no possibility to have a sponsorship from my employer	Nov 27, 2013 1:54 PM
12	IAA needs to be less technical nd more relevant.	Nov 27, 2013 1:37 PM
13	I did not attend for 3 reasons: Lack of funding, Destination very far away, so necessitate more days away from work. Finally, I am a university professor and the fall meeting is always difficult to assist since it is directly in the semester.	Nov 27, 2013 1:28 PM
14	I enjoyed the meeting and thought Singapore was a great location. However, I was surprised that there were so few actuaries from Singapore attending. We should try to go to cities where there is more support for the IAA.	Nov 27, 2013 1:26 PM
15	Association does not provide funding.	Nov 27, 2013 1:16 PM