


Important information regarding this report

- Question 1: for privacy reasons, the names are not disclosed
- Headers: unfortunately, the software does not print all of the headers on the report. Please note that questions:
 - 2 to 12 = lunch on September 11 (Mr. Ian MacKintosh)
 - 13 to 23 = lunch on September 12 (Dr. Alex Zhavoronkov)

Q2 I attended the general lunch

Answered: 96 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	57.29%	55
No	42.71%	41
Total		96

#	Comments	Date
1	excellent presentation and good to have such strong connection	11/27/2014 5:28 PM
2	Ian has a nice style and the material was relevant and interesting. Two issues a) more time for Q&A would have been helpful and b) the acoustics in the lunch room (conservatory) were not helpful to hear comments from the floor though ok to hear the speakers/those with microphones.	11/27/2014 4:03 PM
3	The talk was boring and not worth my time.	10/30/2014 11:39 AM
4	had another meeting	10/30/2014 3:34 AM
5	strategically important presentation	10/29/2014 12:55 PM
6	I had not arrived in London at that stage.	10/29/2014 12:29 PM

Q3 I did not attend because:

Answered: 41 Skipped: 55


#	Responses	Date
1	Travel arrangment	12/1/2014 10:27 AM
2	It is non of my business	11/30/2014 12:13 PM
3	Not yet in London.	11/28/2014 11:01 AM
4	I had another non-IAA meeting that I needed to attend	11/28/2014 9:54 AM
5	i was not in town yet	11/28/2014 3:23 AM
6	I had another meeting and then work commitments	11/27/2014 3:10 PM
7	I came to London the day after	11/27/2014 8:51 AM
8	too busy	11/27/2014 8:40 AM
9	detained by the day job	11/12/2014 5:33 AM
10	I had another appointment.	11/5/2014 2:39 AM
11	I had another appointment	11/4/2014 9:41 AM
12	My first meeting started on Friday.	11/3/2014 5:50 AM
13	Time	11/1/2014 5:38 AM
14	Previous engagement	10/30/2014 9:23 PM
15	I had a meeting	10/30/2014 5:59 PM
16	No meetings that day	10/30/2014 6:41 AM
17	I had another commitment	10/30/2014 5:14 AM
18	Didn't fit in with my schedule	10/30/2014 3:53 AM
19	I only attended Friday's sessions	10/30/2014 3:37 AM
20	had another meeting	10/30/2014 3:34 AM
21	Attended other meeting	10/29/2014 8:48 PM
22	I wasn't there that time yet.	10/29/2014 7:53 PM
23	I had not arrived London. My meeting stated Friday.	10/29/2014 5:32 PM
24	Another commitment	10/29/2014 3:28 PM
25	I was tied up with other activities and duties.	10/29/2014 2:49 PM
26	another meeting	10/29/2014 2:36 PM
27	other plans	10/29/2014 2:21 PM
28	other engagement	10/29/2014 2:17 PM
29	Not present this day.	10/29/2014 1:47 PM
30	had other things to do	10/29/2014 1:36 PM
31	I cant	10/29/2014 1:33 PM
32	Not at conference at that time.	10/29/2014 1:19 PM
33	I forgot there was a speaker	10/29/2014 1:07 PM

London, UK Council and Committee Meetings

34	Other appointment	10/29/2014 12:51 PM
35	Was not fitting into my personal agenda	10/29/2014 12:51 PM
36	I was working in my room.	10/29/2014 12:47 PM
37	I only attended on Friday	10/29/2014 12:37 PM
38	Had to go only to one meeting, and lunch was not on that day (I think)	10/29/2014 12:36 PM
39	I had another meeting scheduled over lunch	10/29/2014 12:34 PM
40	I had to attend to other matters.	10/29/2014 12:33 PM
41	I had not arrived in London yet.	10/29/2014 12:29 PM

Q4 My accompanying person joined me for lunch

Answered: 56 Skipped: 40




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	5.36% 3
No	94.64% 53
Total	56

#	Comments	Date
1	was alone, had no accompanying person	11/30/2014 11:37 AM
2	No accompanying person	11/27/2014 4:03 PM
3	No accompanying person	11/6/2014 7:25 AM
4	Should be an N/A box here for those without accomp pers	11/2/2014 1:15 PM
5	N accompanying person was with me at the meeting	10/30/2014 6:21 AM

Q5 I appreciated the additional 30 minutes allocated for this luncheon

Answered: 55 Skipped: 41




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	3.64% 2	80.00% 44	16.36% 9	55	3.13

#	Comments	Date
1	but didn't really notice that	11/27/2014 5:28 PM
2	It should have been longer !	11/27/2014 4:03 PM
3	Good to have a speaker	11/2/2014 1:15 PM
4	The speaker did not provide much in terms of new information	10/30/2014 9:57 AM
5	I like the concept, but I don't think it worked too well. Partly it was the venue, which did not have very good acoustics. and partly, people are so accustomed to using the lunch for networking and meetings, that we all had a little trouble adjusting to the new idea	10/29/2014 7:26 PM

Q6 The set-up of the lunch room was suitable for a speaker presentation (e.g. sight, sound, climate)

Answered: 54 Skipped: 42




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	37.04% 20	51.85% 28	11.11% 6	54	2.74

#	Comments	Date
1	some problems with the sight	12/1/2014 8:34 AM
2	OK for the form of presentation adopted	11/27/2014 5:28 PM
3	Acoustics were not helpful to hear comments from the floor	11/27/2014 4:04 PM
4	A nice room but not ideal for a speaker	11/2/2014 1:16 PM
5	But not enough room.	10/30/2014 11:40 AM
6	The sound was not good in that room	10/30/2014 9:58 AM
7	difficult in seeing and hearing the speaker	10/30/2014 6:22 AM
8	Generally the dining rooms are not the best rooms for the presentations. They are not very well from the perspective of audio-visibility.	10/30/2014 3:09 AM
9	i found the acoustics difficult. On the other hand, it was great that we had a space that was just IAA, and not mingled with other customers in the same room	10/29/2014 7:26 PM
10	As I recall, there weren't enough chairs so many people had to stand.	10/29/2014 12:30 PM

Q7 The speaker used the time allotted for his presentation effectively

Answered: 53 Skipped: 43




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	81.13% 43	18.87% 10	53	3.19

#	Comments	Date
1	Only downside was his comment that he didn't think the IASB and actuaries didn't need to work more closely together (as he thought the relationship was fine)	11/27/2014 4:05 PM

Q8 I found the topic informative

Answered: 52 Skipped: 44




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	13.46% 7	65.38% 34	21.15% 11	52	3.08

#	Comments	Date
1	would be better to have an option of neither agree nor disagree in some of these questions	11/30/2014 1:37 PM
2	Good to get the bigger picture IASB perspective on standards	11/27/2014 4:05 PM
3	Not really new topics. I would have appreciated some more challenging views	10/30/2014 6:57 AM
4	Topic was informative but venue was not really suitable for such presentation	10/30/2014 2:07 AM

Q9 The speaker was engaging which kept me interested in the presentation

Answered: 52 Skipped: 44




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	1.92% 1	15.38% 8	69.23% 36	13.46% 7	52	2.94

#	Comments	Date
1	see previous comments	10/30/2014 6:57 AM
2	but i have worked around accounting a long time	10/29/2014 12:58 PM
3	I would put this somewhere as neutral, if that were an option. Part of the problem was the seating, as I couldn't hear the speaker very well.	10/29/2014 12:31 PM

Q10 The speaker was responsive in answering questions from the audience

Answered: 52 Skipped: 44




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	N/A: No questions were asked	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00%	7.69%	55.77%	15.38%	21.15%	52	2.44
	0	4	29	8	11		

#	Comments	Date
1	But too little time was available for interaction	11/27/2014 4:06 PM
2	The Q&A was very short	11/3/2014 12:37 PM
3	Yes, responsive, but I didn't like some of his responses.	11/2/2014 1:17 PM
4	I don't remember	10/29/2014 7:27 PM

Q11 I would support inviting this speaker to present at future IAA Council and Committee meetings

Answered: 51 Skipped: 45




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	27.45% 14	56.86% 29	15.69% 8	51	2.88

#	Comments	Date
1	He showed a deep competence	12/1/2014 8:33 AM
2	i would say neither agree nor disagree	11/30/2014 1:38 PM
3	Need some rotation of speakers, but he was good.	11/27/2014 9:37 AM
4	No, we have already heard from him.	11/3/2014 10:08 AM
5	I believe the chair Hans Hoogervorst is more appropriate - more in for debate	10/30/2014 6:58 AM

Q12 Overall, I was satisfied with the content and delivery of this presentation

Answered: 51 Skipped: 45




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	11.76% 6	74.51% 38	13.73% 7	51	3.02

#	Comments	Date
1	Again I would have selected neither agree nor disagree	11/30/2014 1:38 PM
2	Yes, good to have him, but disappointed in some of what he said	11/2/2014 1:18 PM
3	It made really clear, that cooperation is not really intended ...	10/29/2014 12:43 PM

Q13 I attended the general lunch

Answered: 92 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	67.39% 62
No	32.61% 30
Total	92

#	Comments	Date
1	Very interesting thoughts (maybe a little bit too much self-marketing).	11/3/2014 5:51 AM
2	Too much noise to really understand fully his speech.	10/29/2014 1:47 PM
3	Odd topic. felt like there was alot of personal advetising in this talk.	10/29/2014 1:37 PM
4	I attended because I wanted to catch up with people in advance of the meeting I was to attend in the afternoon. Having a speaker was a real nuisance - it meant that I couldn't talk to anyone and that some of the people I did want to talk to weren't there as they'd opted for a quiet lunch elsewhere. Please don't do this agaon, or, if you feel that a speaker is really necessary, have the lunch split between two rooms - a "listen to the speaker" room and a "network" room	10/29/2014 12:39 PM
5	Extremely interesting but very difficult to read the slides	10/29/2014 12:37 PM


Q14 I did not attend because:

Answered: 30 Skipped: 66

#	Responses	Date
1	I had other business to attend to.	11/30/2014 1:39 PM
2	It is non of my business	11/30/2014 12:14 PM
3	Do you mean the Thursday, September 11 lunch? I was with family.	11/28/2014 11:02 AM
4	I had another non-IAA meeting to attend	11/28/2014 9:55 AM
5	i was ill	11/28/2014 7:57 AM
6	Other commitment	11/27/2014 4:37 PM
7	Other commitment	11/27/2014 9:38 AM
8	I had not yet arrived London	11/27/2014 8:52 AM
9	Had to return to work	11/21/2014 3:13 AM
10	taking work calls	11/12/2014 5:33 AM
11	I had another appointment.	11/5/2014 2:40 AM
12	I had another appointment	11/4/2014 9:41 AM
13	I had a work conference call	11/3/2014 4:15 PM
14	Only attended on the previous day	11/3/2014 12:38 PM
15	Another schedule - I would have like to hear him speak and was sorry to miss it.	11/3/2014 10:09 AM
16	Time	11/1/2014 5:38 AM
17	I did not attend the committee meetings on Friday.	10/31/2014 4:11 AM
18	I needed to have a walk	10/30/2014 6:26 AM
19	I had another commitment	10/30/2014 5:14 AM
20	Went to British Museum.	10/29/2014 8:49 PM
21	I had another appointment.	10/29/2014 7:59 PM
22	I was tied up with other activities and duties.	10/29/2014 2:49 PM
23	other plans	10/29/2014 2:21 PM
24	other appointment	10/29/2014 2:17 PM
25	Other commitments	10/29/2014 2:06 PM
26	Prior committment	10/29/2014 1:27 PM
27	Not at conference at that time.	10/29/2014 1:19 PM
28	Other things to do	10/29/2014 1:08 PM
29	Was not fitting into my personal agenda.	10/29/2014 12:51 PM
30	Not present in London at that time	10/29/2014 12:44 PM

Q15 My accompanying person joined me for lunch

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	0.00% 0
No	100.00% 60
Total	60

#	Comments	Date
1	N/a	12/1/2014 10:29 AM
2	No accompanying person	11/27/2014 4:06 PM
3	N/A	11/27/2014 3:10 PM
4	No accompanying person	11/6/2014 7:26 AM
5	No accompanying person.	11/3/2014 5:51 AM
6	I had NO accomp pers. Should be an N/A box here.	11/2/2014 1:18 PM
7	I did not have a companion	10/30/2014 3:38 AM
8	If I'd had an accompanying person they might have been interested in meeting over participants in my meeting - there's no way they would have wanted to spend lunch listening to an accountant droning on	10/29/2014 12:40 PM
9	I didn't think accompanying persons were invited?	10/29/2014 12:32 PM
10	I did not have an accompanying person.	10/29/2014 12:30 PM

Q16 I appreciated the additional 30 minutes allocated for this luncheon

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	15.00% 9	66.67% 40	18.33% 11	60	3.03

#	Comments	Date
1	didn't notice it	11/27/2014 5:29 PM
2	Again only criticism is that time slot was too short for Q&A (given committee meetings were due to start soon after)	11/27/2014 4:07 PM
3	Was dragging a bit by the end	11/27/2014 3:11 PM
4	same comment as for other speaker	10/29/2014 7:28 PM
5	Too much noise. Better in a real auditorium.	10/29/2014 1:48 PM
6	oportunity	10/29/2014 1:34 PM
7	30 extra minutes pointless when you can't network - see responses to previous questions	10/29/2014 12:41 PM

Q17 The set-up of the lunch room was suitable for a speaker presentation (e.g. sight, sound, climate)

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	6.67% 4	40.00% 24	46.67% 28	6.67% 4	60	2.53

#	Comments	Date
1	not suited to slideshow	11/27/2014 5:31 PM
2	Acoustics were poor, couldn't hear comments from floor. OK for speakers with microphones though	11/27/2014 4:08 PM
3	Audio and visual was terrible	11/27/2014 3:11 PM
4	The room was too bright to clearly see the slides	11/8/2014 5:22 PM
5	i made sure to have a good seat close to the speaker	10/30/2014 10:01 AM
6	sometimes hard to see things	10/30/2014 3:34 AM
7	Generally the dining rooms are not the best rooms for the presentations. They are not very well from the perspective of audio-visuality.	10/30/2014 3:10 AM
8	It was very difficult to see presentation slides (due to location of screens, sunshine etc.)	10/30/2014 2:09 AM
9	The monitor is a little small.	10/29/2014 7:54 PM
10	same comment as with other speaker	10/29/2014 7:29 PM
11	The sound was poor	10/29/2014 12:52 PM
12	No comment as I didn't want to hear a speaker. Why, then am I forced to answer this irrelevant question - please discount whichever box I end up ticking randomly	10/29/2014 12:42 PM
13	Very difficult to read the slides even when close to a screen	10/29/2014 12:38 PM
14	Same room - same comments as before	10/29/2014 12:32 PM
15	hard to see and hear	10/29/2014 12:30 PM

Q18 The speaker used the time allotted for his presentation effectively

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	18.33% 11	68.33% 41	13.33% 8	60	2.95

#	Comments	Date
1	slightly unfocussed presentation - 'blue sky activity'	11/27/2014 5:31 PM
2	I've heard Alex speak before (and recommended him to the IAA). He packed a huge amount of material into the time available, so much it may have been hard for non English speakers to keep up with the pace of his different interest areas	11/27/2014 4:09 PM
3	Though not sure how much this reflected to room set-up	11/27/2014 3:11 PM
4	Went over despite the extra time.	10/30/2014 11:42 AM
5	too much promotion	10/29/2014 1:38 PM
6	tmi	10/29/2014 1:23 PM
7	UGH - if you ask more questions about a speaker who I didn't want to have to hear I shall just press random buttons in this survey	10/29/2014 12:43 PM

Q19 I found the topic informative

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	3.33% 2	15.00% 9	58.33% 35	23.33% 14	60	3.02

#	Comments	Date
1	I'm now aware of research activity but not of any conclusion	11/27/2014 5:32 PM
2	If only one of Alex's initiatives/ventures impacts longevity, the actuarial perspective will have to change !	11/27/2014 4:10 PM
3	Frankly, hard to remember. This survey better done several weeks ago.	11/2/2014 1:19 PM
4	I had little interest in the topic	10/30/2014 3:39 AM
5	but unbelievable	10/29/2014 1:38 PM

Q20 The speaker was engaging which kept me interested in the presentation

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	5.00% 3	25.00% 15	51.67% 31	18.33% 11	60	2.83

#	Comments	Date
1	interest declined as it went on	11/27/2014 5:32 PM
2	Well delivered and impressive command of the material	11/27/2014 4:11 PM
3	Faded in and out.	11/27/2014 3:12 PM
4	too self-promoting and how good he was	10/29/2014 1:01 PM

Q21 The speaker was responsive in answering questions from the audience

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	N/A: No questions were asked	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	1.67%	3.33%	63.33%	16.67%	15.00%	60	2.65
	1	2	38	10	9		

#	Comments	Date
1	Too little time left (maybe a good thing as I sensed many of the audience were astounded by the work that is going on by Alex and others)	11/27/2014 4:12 PM
2	Can't remember	11/2/2014 1:19 PM
3	i don't remember	10/29/2014 7:29 PM
4	but few questions	10/29/2014 1:23 PM

Q22 I would support inviting this speaker to present at future IAA Council and Committee meetings

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	11.67% 7	38.33% 23	40.00% 24	10.00% 6	60	2.48

#	Comments	Date
1	Maybe not so informative to listen to the same speaker	11/28/2014 3:25 AM
2	Actuaries need to keep abreast with leading edge work like this	11/27/2014 4:12 PM
3	It has been done now	11/27/2014 3:12 PM
4	with some coaching	10/29/2014 1:24 PM
5	I think the presentation was very good, but not sure that we would need to hear it again.	10/29/2014 12:33 PM
6	That said, it's good to have variety . . .	10/29/2014 12:31 PM
7	maybe similar topic with different person	10/29/2014 12:30 PM

Q23 Overall, I was satisfied with the content and delivery of this presentation

Answered: 60 Skipped: 36




	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	3.33% 2	28.33% 17	53.33% 32	15.00% 9	60	2.80

#	Comments	Date
1	Agree - but a fringe speaker from my point of view	11/27/2014 5:33 PM
2	A wake up call to actuaries	11/27/2014 4:13 PM
3	I suspect mostly to do with the room	11/27/2014 3:13 PM
4	But can't really remember	11/2/2014 1:20 PM
5	Although I disagreed with some of his comments.	10/30/2014 11:43 AM

Q24 I would like to see speakers invited at:

Answered: 90 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses
Every meeting	51.11% 46
Once a year	22.22% 20
Occasionally (please specify frequency in the comment box)	25.56% 23
Never	1.11% 1
Total	90


#	Occasionally (please specify)	Date
1	One lunch speaker per meeting is sufficient.	11/30/2014 1:40 PM
2	when there is a case for a speech	11/30/2014 11:41 AM
3	No opinion. In general, the lunches are a good time to network, and a speaker prevents that.	11/28/2014 11:03 AM
4	twice a year	11/28/2014 3:26 AM
5	Great initiative by Kurt	11/27/2014 4:13 PM
6	Interesting and relevant topic and leader in their field. Let's not just get someone for the sake of it	11/27/2014 3:13 PM
7	When someone useful is available and has something useful to say. Not just for the sake of doing it or delivering friendly words.	11/12/2014 5:33 AM
8	But not at lunch time	11/6/2014 7:28 AM
9	From my point of view it depends whether IAA is identifying relevant interesting topics from a perspective of other professions (not just asking the hosting association about their ideas)	11/3/2014 7:23 AM
10	I would suggest every meeting, but only one.	10/30/2014 11:43 AM
11	During one of the lunches at a meeting, not all.	10/30/2014 9:01 AM

London, UK Council and Committee Meetings

12	perhaps one lunch per meeting session leaving the other lunch free	10/30/2014 5:55 AM
13	when suitable topic(s) found.	10/30/2014 4:59 AM
14	SOMeone with something worthwhile to say more important than how often	10/30/2014 3:56 AM
15	maybe once per meeting	10/30/2014 3:35 AM
16	Depending on how interesting speakers ae found.	10/30/2014 3:12 AM
17	IAA should invite speaker if he / she may present interesting / actual topic	10/30/2014 2:11 AM
18	Do this at one lunch each meeting	10/29/2014 7:30 PM
19	Depend on the speaker	10/29/2014 3:01 PM
20	one lunch per meeting	10/29/2014 1:39 PM
21	When they have something particularly useful to say to the meetings. Not just to have one. That requires clarity of the purpose of the meetings. I would support speakers from international bodies with whom we have or want close relationships. However I am concerned about the length of Council meetings as a whole and the increase in the number of speakers through the 4 days. They are surely business meetings rather than conferences.	10/29/2014 1:27 PM
22	But not for the lunches. Have them for option sessions parallel to lunches or in early or late evenings or early mornings if at all	10/29/2014 12:44 PM
23	I think it was nice to get a little continuing ed during the lunch period!	10/29/2014 12:34 PM

Q25 When inviting speakers, I prefer that the presentations are offered:

Answered: 88 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses
During lunch	53.41% 47
During a special time slot reserved on the program (e.g. a general session)	37.50% 33
Other (please specify in the comment box)	9.09% 8
Total	88


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	It's better to only have speakers if it's a sit down lunch (versus buffet). with buffet style, people tend to walk around and it's very distracting to listen to the speaker. It was difficult to hear and pay attention to the speech.	11/30/2014 1:42 PM
2	note that speakers at lunch crowd out time for networking: where time permits targeted presentations at committee meetings are perhaps better	11/30/2014 11:44 AM
3	No preference	11/28/2014 9:55 AM
4	We should be flexible, but lunch slot are goods for right type of speaker (relationship types)	11/27/2014 5:34 PM
5	So that full time can be given for the discussion	11/27/2014 4:14 PM
6	After lunch seems to be an appropriate time slot to allow committees to start already with their regular meeting if all members agree to skip the presentation or for individuals to to other business instead of joining the after-lunch-presentation.	11/3/2014 7:26 AM
7	Lunch or a general session would be fine. Lunch is probably better to avoid conflicts with other meetings.	10/30/2014 11:44 AM
8	no great preference. It may not be possible at the lunch for some venues.	10/30/2014 9:02 AM
9	Whenever it is considered best to further the strategic aims of the IAA	10/29/2014 1:30 PM
10	peppy speakers though, thought-provoking	10/29/2014 1:25 PM
11	depends on topic and speaker	10/29/2014 1:02 PM
12	See answer to previous question	10/29/2014 12:44 PM

London, UK Council and Committee Meetings

13	I like both options. However, the CAS pays my way to the meetings, so might question the value to them of me sitting in on a presentation.	10/29/2014 12:35 PM
----	--	---------------------

Q26 I think external speakers should be invited to present at future IAA Council and Committee Meetings

Answered: 89 Skipped: 7



	Totally disagree	Disagree	Agree	Totally agree	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	4.49% 4	69.66% 62	25.84% 23	89	3.21

#	Comments	Date
1	Provided they are of general interest otherwise invite them to committee sessions	11/30/2014 11:44 AM
2	some of them	11/27/2014 5:34 PM
3	Important for the IAA to be seen to be reaching out to hear non-actuarial perspectives on topics that impact actuaries	11/27/2014 4:14 PM
4	BUT - when they are leaders in their field and it is an interesting and relevant topic. Not all the time.	11/27/2014 3:14 PM
5	It is useful to listen to views from other professionals	11/27/2014 8:53 AM
6	It is helpful, but shouldn't be forced. No speaker is better than a poor speaker or a speaker on an irrelevant topic.	10/30/2014 9:03 AM
7	We have to be outward looking	10/30/2014 5:15 AM
8	but maybe once per meeting	10/30/2014 3:35 AM
9	I do not like the choice here. I agree, or rather do not think there should never be any. However they should not be a standing feature of committees unless they fulfil the aims I set out above.	10/29/2014 1:38 PM
10	depends on location, time allotted and speaker	10/29/2014 1:03 PM
11	The meetings are meant to be working sessions in general, not CPD opportunities. Speakers should be invited only if directly relevant to the topics under discussion. And that is likely to apply only to some committees' agendas	10/29/2014 12:45 PM

**Q27 We would love to hear from you.
Please use this box to provide suggestions
on future presentation topics, speakers or
general meeting feedback.**

Answered: 26 Skipped: 70

#	Responses	Date
1	IT would be good to have speakers from the country in which the council meeting is held, and for the speakers to talk about their own countries with some contrast with other countries. The topic could be a recent international event and for the speakers to give some insight that may not be in international news. For example, Zurich's "Gold Referendum" may be an interesting topic (perhaps it would be old news by then).	11/30/2014 1:47 PM
2	I'll discuss in EC	11/27/2014 5:34 PM
3	In my view it would be much better to be focussing on the content of the various meetings and getting those to a stage where they are actually worthwhile attending. The meetings should be used for strategic discussion and planning, not for updating everybody on the papers that they should have read before the meeting.	11/27/2014 3:17 PM
4	In my feeling a lunch is not the right place for a presentation.	11/27/2014 8:33 AM
5	Important to ensure that late comers can still access lunch without disturbing the speaker session.	11/12/2014 5:33 AM
6	It might be worth thinking to solicit speakers from "benefactors" in the future as well as institutional members of the IAA.	11/5/2014 3:32 AM
7	Speaker from ILO in case of Switzerland.	11/5/2014 2:42 AM
8	I suggest varying the arrangements from meeting to meeting, depending on which interesting speakers we can get.	11/3/2014 10:10 AM
9	As mentioned before this should rather be a kind of strategic decision by IAA itself than following suggestions from the hosting association or individuals.	11/3/2014 7:28 AM
10	Do your surveys sooner after the meetings. External speakers a good idea, especially ones with organizations where we want to heighten our profile.	11/2/2014 1:21 PM
11	Reps of global players with whom the actuarial profession may have a common interest	11/1/2014 5:39 AM
12	I suggest topics that can affect our practice and we haven't realize about that, for example the research about aging.	10/30/2014 6:10 PM
13	Speakers should be recognized leaders from the country where the meeting is being held. They don't have to be actuaries.	10/30/2014 11:45 AM
14	I found presentations at the ICA from emerging markets (with regard to the actuarial profession) to be very informative. It might be helpful to hear a few of such markets at each meeting.	10/30/2014 9:05 AM
15	I suggest to also invite speakers from other professions (auditors, risk) most importantly, the speakers should be urged to come up with challenging views.	10/30/2014 7:00 AM
16	Presidnets' Forum break-out sessions. These should have staff resource taking all the notes to ensure the full feedback is captured from what is a very valuable audience. The Zurich meeting dates are very awkward: being the week after Easter, and clashing with a very common holiday period in UK and Europe.	10/30/2014 5:19 AM
17	Distribution, products (microinsurance), internet (Google/Amazon/Apple)	10/30/2014 3:44 AM
18	Thank you	10/30/2014 2:07 AM
19	I will think further on this in the light of where meetings are in future.	10/29/2014 1:39 PM
20	I enjoyed the meeting but lost my eyeglasses and umbrella in a meeting room. Hotel Lost & found did not find my items. Cost of doing business...	10/29/2014 1:26 PM

London, UK Council and Committee Meetings

21	Use hotels with good space. Washington had impossible coffee breaks and the rooms were sometimes not easy to find. London had a terrible layout.	10/29/2014 1:10 PM
22	Stranded assets; solutions to space weather risks; USA Health Actuary areas of work - ideally a Milliman Actuary; impact of climate change on insurers (UK Bank of England survey commissioned late October 2014); Regulator talking about financial conduct, ethical standards, corporate culture to celebrate whistleblowing; Regulator talking about key functions, appropriate incentives and how to achieve independence of key function holders (not just hoping that professional integrity will overcome the conflict between personal/family interests and corporate interests generated by conflicts between the interests of regulators and regulated entities; training of actuaries to take on the role of Non-Executive Directors who are required to be independent and accountable for the independence of key functions such as the actuarial and risk management functions	10/29/2014 12:52 PM
23	It would be good to hear from insurance regulators and from economists and banking regulators.	10/29/2014 12:51 PM
24	It might be helpful to get feedback from representatives of international organizations which we are supporting about our relationship and their attitude in that regard.	10/29/2014 12:46 PM
25	I think the meeting was very well done, and excellent signage getting us around to the meeting rooms!! All meeting logistics were fine. I am still trying to lose a few pounds after all the delicious coffee break snacks, which I can never resist!!	10/29/2014 12:39 PM
26	I think the Office is doing fine with the selection of speakers.	10/29/2014 12:35 PM