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Introduction & Background

Standard & Poor's view of ERM
The Value of Good Risk Management

The **purpose** of Risk Management is to...

- **Identify and monitor significant risks**
- **Set risk limits** for each risk to reflect the company’s risk tolerances, competencies and resources
- **Design program to measure all risks consistently** with fundamental objectives of the enterprise.
- **Execute the risk management programs to limit losses** to within the company’s risk tolerances

The **product** of Good Risk Management …

... *is a controlled risk taking environment*
What Is the Difference Between Risk Management and ERM?

An ERM Program comprehensively applies Risk Management:

• Across ALL of the significant risks of the Enterprise
• Consistently across the risks
• Consistently with the fundamental objectives of the enterprise

An ERM Program reflects risk capital in:

• Strategic decision making
• Product design and pricing
• Strategic and tactical investment selection
• Financial performance evaluation

The product of a fully-realized ERM Program is the optimization of enterprise risk adjusted return
ERM Evaluation Components

Strategic Risk Management

Risk Management Culture

Risk Control Processes
Emerging Risks Mgmt
Risk & Economic Capital Models
## ERM Quality Classifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Excellent | - Advanced capabilities to identify, measure, manage all risk exposures within tolerances  
- Advanced implementation, development and execution of ERM parameters  
- Consistently optimizes risk adjusted returns throughout the organization |
| Strong    | - Clear vision of risk tolerance and overall risk profile  
- Risk Control exceeds adequate for most major risks  
- Has robust processes to identify and prepare for emerging risks  
- Incorporates risk management and decision making to optimize risk adjusted returns |
| Adequate  | - Has fully functioning control systems in place for all of their major risks  
- May lack a robust process for identifying and preparing for emerging risks  
- Performing good classical “silos” based risk management  
- Not fully developed process to optimize risk adjusted returns |
| Weak      | - Incomplete control process for one or more major risks  
- Inconsistent or limited capabilities to identify, measure or manage major risk exposures |
Our findings – North America & Bermuda

North America & Bermuda
ERM Score Distribution, As Of April 2008
Total Companies: 145

- Weak: 6%
- Excellent: 4%
- Strong: 10%
- Adequate: 80%

Global results show a similar distribution
ERM Evaluation in the Ratings Process

ERM Evaluation

- Enterprise Risk Management
- Financial Flexibility
- Management Strategy
- Capitalization
- Investments
- Market Position
- Liquidity
- Earnings
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Introduction & Background

The use of ECMs within ERM
ERM Evaluation Components – where is ECM used?

- ECM as risk measure when optimizing risk/returns
- ECM to define risk tolerance
- ECM to define risk limits
- ECM part of our ERM review

Risk Management Culture

Strategic Risk Management

Risk Control Processes

Emerging Risks Mgmt

Risk & Economic Capital Models
ECM within Risk Management Culture

Strategic Risk Management

- Risk Control Processes
- Emerging Risks Mgmt
- Risk & Economic Capital Models

ECM to define risk tolerance
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ECM within Risk Management Culture

**What do we look for:**

- Highly qualified risk management staff
- Regular communication with board on risks positions and risk management programs
- Clear and wide known risk management policies and procedures
- Company’s governance structure supports effective risk management
- Risk measurement and monitoring is independent from risk taking and management
- *Clearly articulated risk preference, appetite and tolerance, translated into risk limits*
- *Manager’s compensation is linked to the achievement of risk management objectives (RoEC, RoEV)*
ECM within Risk Management Culture

Process to set or adjust tolerance and limits

Risk Appetite → Risk Tolerance

Risk Preferences → Risk Limits
ECM within Risk Management Culture

Risk Appetite

We don't want to lose more than 10% of previous year's net income

We don't want to lose more than 25% of our surplus

EC

EC limit

EaR

EaR limit

EC

EaR
ECM Within Risk Control Processes

Strategic Risk Management

ECM to define risk limits

Risk Control Processes

Emerging Risks Mgmt

Risk & Economic Capital Models

Risk Management Culture
ECM in Risk Limits

- **EC by risk equal limit by risk**

  ![EC by risk equal limit by risk diagram](image)

  \[ EC = 1 \text{ year VaR} \]

- **Limits expressed in other terms than EC are then checked against overall EC tolerance and adjusted**
  - Rating for credit risk, % of assets for market risk, premium for exposure?

- **Risk positions tested against EC and EaR tolerances**

- **EC assigned to subsidiaries and/or business divisions**

- **Return Adjusted Limits**

---
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ECM within Strategic Risk Management

Strategic Risk Management

Risk Control Processes

Emerging Risks Mgmt

Risk & Economic Capital Models

ECM as risk measure when optimizing risk/returns

Risk Management Culture
ECM within Strategic Risk Management

What do we look for:

- Consistent view across all risks
- Capability to assess trade-offs between different risk types
- Assessment of risk adjusted returns
- Capital budgeting
- Strategic investment allocation

Objective: To Optimize Risk-adjusted Returns
ECM within Strategic Risk Management

Traditional views of risks

Economic Capital to measure all risk on the same basis

Economic Capital

Risk 1 Risk 2 Risk 3 Risk 4 Risk 5 Risk 6 Risk 7 Risk 8 Risk 9
Economic Capital within Strategic Risk Management

Economic Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business A</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business B</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business C</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business D</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>(1.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.40</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROFITS

Return on Capital

Value Added (after cost of capital)
Pricing for Risk – is ECM Enough?

![Risk & Reward Graph]

- Insurance Pricing (ROE)
- Insurance Pricing (Std Dev)
- Market
- Linear (market)
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ECM within Risk Models

Strategic Risk Management

Risk Control Processes

Emerging Risks Mgmt

Risk & Economic Capital Models

Risk Management Culture

ECM part of our ERM review
**ECM within Risk Models**

*What do we look for:*

- High level discussions of how is ECM used and applied in the organization
- Ownership and embracement of ECM
- Dependencies on ECM within the firm’s ERM process and overall strategic vision
- Risks that are captured or overlooked
- Risk levels defined by the firm’s ECM versus Standard & Poor’s RBC
- Capital adequacy versus capital optimization
- Seasoning of the ECM
Conclusion: the Evolution of ERM and the Role of ECM

- **Link with strategy**
  - High
  - Medium
  - Low

- **Risk control**
- **Balance sheet protection**
- **Compliance**
- **Risk minimization**
- **Risk management**
- **Risk measurement**
- **Risk/return optimization**
- **Strategic integration**
- **Return optimization**

- **Risk models**: Economic capital models, Other models

- **Today**
- **Industry standard in the last 5-10 years**
- **Industry standard in the next 5-10 years**
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Assessing Insurers' Economic Capital Models

ECM Review Project Timeline and Status
Why Economic Capital Model Analysis?


- ECM Review is the next step in the evolution of our credit analysis
  - Deepen our assessment of risk management
  - Augment our understanding insurer’s capital needs
  - Enrich our forward looking view of credit and ratings
## Evolution of The ECM Review Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 2007</td>
<td>Published “Request For Comment: Economic Capital Review Process For Insurers” to start the process to determine guidelines for establishing criteria and procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2007</td>
<td>Published “Economic Capital Review Process For Insurers: Criteria Update” to follow up on issues raised through the request for comment process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2008</td>
<td>Published “Application Guide: Assessing Insurer’s Economic Capital Models” and “Methodology: Assessing Insurer’s Economic Capital Models” to describe process and impact of the initial phase of the ECM Reviews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What To Expect Next

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May – December 2008</td>
<td>– Perform first 5 ECM reviews through to mid-November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Opinion on all 5 companies will be finalized at 1 Rating Committee meeting to be held towards the end of November. This meeting will include Insurance Analysts and ECM Analysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– After this meeting, a detailed ECM review report will be delivered to the reviewed insurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Summary of the ECM review report will be incorporated into the reviewed insurer’s Full Analysis article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2008</td>
<td>Develop plan for involvement of Advanced Analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2009</td>
<td>Publish further Criteria based on first 5 reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January – December 2009</td>
<td>Begin new reviews and repeat 2008 reviews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessing Insurers' Economic Capital Models

Discussion on Application Guide and Process
ECM Assessment – Overview

• **Standard & Poor’s** has developed its process for evaluating insurers' internal economic capital models. Incorporates:
  - Broad industry feedback
  - Findings from specific ECM discussions with market participants
  - Research of commonly applied practices

• **ECM assessments will be voluntary subject to a screening process**
  - Companies with robust ERM constructs
  - Quality of documentation
  - Willingness and readiness of the firm
  - Complexity and scope of the company and their model

• **Evaluations of economic capital will be used in conjunction with existing static, risk-based measures**

• **Dynamic approach will enhance our existing and prospective view of capital adequacy**
ECM Assessments – Eligibility

For Insurers with **Strong or Excellent ERM**

- Standard & Poor’s has developed a robust process for evaluating insurers' internal economic capital models
- To be performed only for companies with effective ERM
- Evaluations of economic capital will be used in conjunction with existing static, risk-based measures
- Dynamic approach will enhance our existing and prospective view of capital adequacy
2008 ECM Review Timeline

ECM Reviews will be a primarily qualitative assessment through 2008; Quantitative Analysis to be implemented in 2009

- Company request
- Prescreening
- Don't Pass
- END
- Pass
- 2008 ECM Review
- Committee in November 2008
- Deliver Results in December 2008
## Process For Each Review

Each company will be reviewed using a 6-step process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>Step 3</th>
<th>Step 4</th>
<th>Step 5</th>
<th>Step 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Request For Information</td>
<td>Preliminary Analysis</td>
<td>Company Meeting</td>
<td>Final Analysis</td>
<td>Rating Committee Review and Decision</td>
<td>Deliver Detailed Report to Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Publish “Full Analysis Review”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Step 1 – Request for information around nine broad areas

- Model set-up
- Model validation and control processes
- Asset valuation
- Liability valuation
- Risk modeling
- Assumptions setting
- Diversification/aggregation
- Risk-mitigation activities
- Stress and scenario testing
- Results of the model
Process For Each Review

Each company will be reviewed using a 6-step process

- **Step 1**: Request For Information
- **Step 2**: Preliminary Analysis
- **Step 3**: Company Meeting
- **Step 4**: Final Analysis
- **Step 5**: Rating Committee Review and Decision
- **Step 6**: Deliver Detailed Report to Management

**Step 2 – Preliminary analytical review**
- Further review of use test
- Validation exploration
- Review of any independent external documentation

**Step 3 – Review meeting with company**
- Will include management, specialists and perhaps third party representatives
- Discuss initial findings
- Further exploration of key model components or processes
Process For Each Review

Each company will be reviewed using a 6-step process

Step 1: Request For Information
Step 2: Preliminary Analysis
Step 3: Company Meeting
Step 4: Final Analysis
Step 5: Rating Committee Review and Decision
Step 6: Deliver Detailed Report to Management
Publish “Full Analysis Review”

Step 4 – Final analysis and rating committee decision
• Development of a detailed report of findings
• Recommendations presented and discussed in a committee setting

Step 5 – Presentation of conclusions to company management
• Final report reflecting our findings and our conclusions delivered
• ECM credibility factor discussion
• Will reflect our view of required capital

Step 6 – Summary of findings made public
• Summary of ECM review report published on RatingsDirect
Schedule for 2008 Reviews

The ECM Review Report for all 5 companies will be finalized at the same time during the committee meeting in November.

Request-for-information (Step 1) to commence upon client engagement.

Our goal to deliver the detailed report (Steps 5) for all companies by early December.
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Risk Management Culture

Strategic Risk Management

- Risk Control Processes
- Emerging Risks Mgmt
- Risk & Economic Capital Models

ECM Review

- Assumptions
- Methods
- Data
- Process/Execution
- Results
- Validation

Permission to reprint or distribute any content from this presentation requires the prior written approval of Standard & Poor’s.
ECM Assessment – The Review Framework

Six categories of focus across each function or model.
These will influence the “M-factor”

1. Assumptions
   • What are they and how were they developed

2. Methodology
   • Effectiveness of risk identification and quantification
   • Diversification and capital fungibility

3. Data quality
   • Data source and integrity
   • Data application – asset/liability valuation, setting parameters
ECM Assessment – The Review Framework

Six categories of focus across each function or model

4. Process and execution
   • Model construction and effectiveness
   • Model integration – data, model components, outputs, reports

5. Results
   • Versus internal benchmarking database
   • Versus Standard and Poor’s capital model results

6. Testing and Validation
   • Quality of the firm’s approach to assure the model is sound
   • Stress and scenario testing considerations
Some likely considerations when reviewing analysis of a third-party:

- **Assessment Process** – the external party’s criteria and how it aligns with Standard & Poor’s criteria
- **Scoring** – the grading scale, benchmarking, comparisons to best practices
- **Reviewer qualifications** – Person(s) doing the review, their expertise, how the reviewer was chosen
- **Other factors** –
  - The scope of the external review
  - The motivation for the review
  - The age of the review

We hope to leverage any independent ECM assessments and validations to enrich our analysis
ECM Assessment – Third-Party ECM Evaluations

• Will require access to the entire report prepared by the 3rd party
• Will prefer to have direct access to the 3rd party for any follow-up questions
• Will not be relying on 3rd party opinion
• Will tend to focus on the process that the 3rd party followed to form their opinion
  – Rather than on the specifics of their opinion
  – Focus on validations that they performed
Assessing Insurers' Economic Capital Models

Preliminary Discussion on Methodology and Criteria
Relating ERM to ECM in the Ratings Process

ERM Evaluation

Strong/Excellent ERM companies are eligible to apply for ECM reviews

ECM Review

Enterprise Risk Management

Capitalization

Management Strategy

Financial Flexibility

Earnings

Liquidity

Market Position

Investments
ECM Assessments – Quantifying Credibility

• Standard & Poor’s will blend the results of its RBC model output with the ECM output of the insurer. There will be a credibility “M-factor” applied to achieve this weighted average.

• The level of credibility or “M-factor” will vary given several considerations:
  • Initial level of confidence expect to be low
  • Over time we expect to place greater reliance on the insurer’s ECM results

• Will make adjustments to reflect balance sheet valuation definition differences
ECM Assessments – Quantifying Credibility

Adjustments will be made to make for consistency between the two models using a total balance sheet approach

• We will establish total resources required. This is broadly defined as:

\[
TRR = \text{Liabilities assumed in determining required capital} + \text{Required capital}
\]

• For the Standard & Poor’s RBC model determine TRR → adjustments made to total available capital (TAC) will be attributed back to the B-S as asset or liability adjustments

• For the firm’s ECM → use information the company provides with adjustments made to assure consistency with the Standard & Poor’s RBC

• This is one step to align models that are determined with different asset and liability valuation definitions (see appendix for further details)
Questions?
### Example to illustrate Total Resources Required (TRR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and surplus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets (accounting)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities (accounting)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total surplus (accounting)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjustment to total adjusted capital</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve review</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred acquisition cost / VIF adjustment</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total adjusted capital</td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-based capital needs</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess capital</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total resources required (S&P RBC basis)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and surplus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total assets (accounting)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities (accounting)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total surplus (accounting)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net adjustments (50-100)</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-based capital</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total resources required</td>
<td>850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess capital</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total resources required (insurer economic capital basis)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities and surplus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total assets (accounting or model)</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities (accounting or model)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total surplus (accounting or model)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic capital</strong></td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total resources required</td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess capital</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** In this example, we allocate all of the valuation adjustments made to arrive at TAC in the capital model either to accounting assets or liabilities. We then compare adjusted liabilities plus RBC to adjusted assets to determine excess capital.
Analytic services and products provided by Standard & Poor's are the result of separate activities designed to preserve the independence and objectivity of each analytic process. Standard & Poor's has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of non-public information received during each analytic process.
Actuaries & ERM

IAA Enterprise & Financial Risks Committee

International Network of Actuarial Risk Managers

North American Joint Risk Management Section
IAA Enterprise & Financial Risks Committee

- Responding to the IAIS
- Facilitating Training & CPD regarding ERM
- Facilitating Development of a web-based ERM library
- Provide advice & assistance in development of global ERM credential
- Serve as ERM & risk knowledge resource
International Network of Actuarial Risk Managers (INARM)

- Is not an organization!
- Is a network.
  - Participants are members of existing actuarial organizations
- **Network offers a chance for actuaries interested in ERM a forum for communications**
  - Sharing
  - Questioning
  - Personal reactions to events
- **Virtual ERM Coffee Shop**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listserv</th>
<th>Riskviews Blog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• About 300 participants.</td>
<td>• Includes archive of emails from listserv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Any participant can send an email.</td>
<td>• Plus postings on other topics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recent extended discussion of own credit adjustment to fair value</td>
<td>• (190 total @ 1 June)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Notices of publications &amp; events around the world</td>
<td>• Has about 200 hits per week since inception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(see public listservs on SOA site)</td>
<td>Riskviews.wordpress.com</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERM Reading Groups</th>
<th>LinkedIn Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• 4 groups to read 4 books &amp; discuss</td>
<td>• Allows participants to share their professional information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Selected from a list of 40 books that was compiled from the listserv</td>
<td>• Can easily “connect” with 250 members of INARM group from 25 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participants</td>
<td>• Over 20 million total on LinkedIn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ermbooks.wordpress.com</td>
<td><a href="http://www.linkedin.com">www.linkedin.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Joint Risk Management Section

- Founded in 2003
- Jointly sponsored by SOA, CAS & CIA
- Now has about 3000 dues paying members
- Newsletter
- Research
- ERM Symposium
What we think, or what we know, or what we believe is, in the end, of little consequence. The only consequence is what we do.

John Ruskin